

China: Gross Value of Industrial Output, 1965-77

A Research Paper

This publication is prepared for the use of U.S. Government officials. The format, coverage and contents of the publication are designed to meet the specific requirements of those users. U.S. Government officials may obtain additional copies of this document directly or through liaison channels from the Central Intelligence Agency.

Non-U.S. Government users may obtain this along with similar CIA publications on a subscription basis by addressing inquiries to:

Document Expediting (DOCEX) Project Exchange and Gift Division Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 20540

Non-U.S. Covernment users not interested in the DOCEX Project subscription service may purchase reproductions of specific publications on an individual basis from:

> Photoduplication Service Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 20540

Approved For Release 2002/08/07 : CIA-RDP80T00702A000100040006-0 ${\bf CONTENTS}$

Preface Abbreviations Introduction The Method of Calculation The Derivation of the National GVIO Series	i iv 1 2 5
Tables	
 Gross Value of Industrial Output, by Province Reported National Data Used as Constraints National Index of the Gross Value of Industrial Output Gross Value of Industrial Output Estimation of the National Gross Value of Industrial Output in 1967 	5 6 7 8 9
Appendix and Province Tables	
Annual Indexes of Provincial Industrial Output, Selected Years,	
1949-77	11
A-1. Anhwei	12
A-2. Chekiang	14 16
A-3. Fukien	18
A-4. Heilungkiang	20
A-5. Honan	20
A-6. Hopeh	24
A-7. Hunan	24 26
A-8. Hupeh	20 28
A-9. Inner Mongolia	30
A-10. Kansu	32
A-11. Kiangsi	34
A-12. Kiangsu	36
A-13. Kirin	38
A-14. Kwangsi	40
A-15. Kwangtung	42
A-16. Kweichow	44
A-17. Liaoning	46
A-18. Ningsia	48
A-19. Peking	50
A-20. Shanghai	52
A-21. Shansi	54
A-22. Shantung	56
A-23. Shensi	58
A-24. Sinkiang	60
A-25. Szechwan	62
A-26. Tibet	64
A-27. Tientsin A-28. Tsinghai	66
A-20, Tsinghai	68

ABBREVIATIONS

BBC	British Broadcasting Corporation, Summary
	of World Broadcasts, Part 3, The Far East,
	Weekly Economic Report, and its
	predecessors.
CKHW	Chung-kuo hsin-wen (China News).
FBIS	Foreign Broadcast Information Service, Dai-
	ly Report, People's Republic of China, and
	its predecessors.
Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976	Robert Michael Field, Nicholas R. Lardy,
	and John Philip Emerson, Provincial Indus-
	trial Output in the People's Republic of
	China: 1949-75, Foreign Economic Report
	No. 12, US Department of Commerce,
	Washington, D. C., 1976.
Great Changes	Shen-chou chu-pien-Hsin Chung-kuo erh-
	shih-chiu-ke sheng, shih, tzu-chih-ch'u
	chieh-shao (Great Changes in the Father-
	land—an Introduction to the Twenty-Nine
	Provinces. Municipalities, and Regions of
	New China, Hong Kong, 1975.
GVIO	Gross value of industrial output.
JM JP	Jen-min jih-pao (People's Daily).
JPRS	US Joint Publications Research Service.
NCNA	
SCMP	American Consulate General, Hong Kong,
	Survey of China Mainland Press.
Statistics on the IMAR	Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Bureau
	of Statistics, Net-meng-ku tzu-chih-ch'u
	ching-chi ho wenhua chien-she ch'eng-chiu
	ti t'ung-chi (Statistics on the Economic and
	Cultural Achievements of the Inner Mon-
	golia Autonomous Region), Huhehot, 1960,
	translated in JPRS, No 16,952, 3 January
	1963.
TKP (HK)	Ta-kung pao (The Impartial) (Hong Kong).

China: Gross Value of Industrial Output, 1965-77

Central Intelligence Agency National Foreign Assessment Center

June 1978

Introduction

Although only two or three absolute figures for the value of provincial industrial output have been released for the years since the collapse of the Leap Forward, a large number of statements about increases over 1949, 1965, or the previous year have been published or broadcast. Typical of these are the following statements about Anhwei: 1

Provincial industrial output in 1975 was double the 1970 figure or 22 times that of 1949.

Anhwei's industry [in 1970] ... recorded a 62-percent increase in output value ... compared with 1965.

The province overfulfilled the 1975 State Plan for total industrial output value, registering a 19.2-percent increase over 1974 and a 195-percent increase over 1965.

It was possible to use a least squares technique to approximate the unpublished provincial GVIO time series from which statements such as these were derived. The technique is fully described in appendix A of Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976, and up-to-date series are presented in the appendix of this paper. Unfortunately, the series are not complete.

Because of the gaps in the provincial series, a national GVIO series was derived from them by a method described in the next section. The method is similar to that used in Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976, but differs in two respects. First, the provincial GVIO data are weighted so that years for which the data are more complete weigh more heavily in the calculations than those for which the data are sparse. And second, the value for any given year can be forced to equal a reported figure or a figure derived from reported data.

The actual calculation of the national GVIO series is discussed in the final section of this paper.

¹BBC, FE/W872/A/8, 7 April 1976; SCMP, No. 4831, 4 February 1971, p. 136; and BBC, FE/W860/A/3, 14 January 1976.

The Method of Calculation

The best statement of the relationship between the value of output in the years i and j is the ratio of the value of output of all commodities for which output data are available in both year i and year j. The ratio is

$$R_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_k P_k Q_{jk}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_k P_k Q_{ik}}$$

where P and Q are price and quantity, respectively, n is the number of output series, and δ is an indicator function. The function, which indicates the commodities to be included in the ratio, is defined as

$$\delta_k = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if both } Q_{ik} \text{ and } Q_{jk} > 0 \\ 0 \text{ if either } Q_{ij} \text{ or } Q_{ik} = 0 \end{cases}$$

An index Y is estimated by least squares from the following equation

$$\frac{\dot{Y}_{i}}{\hat{Y}_{i}} = R_{ij} \cdot \epsilon_{ij}$$

where & is the error term. Taking the logarithms of both sides yields the equation

$$\log \hat{Y}_i - \log \hat{Y}_i - \log R_{ij} = \log \epsilon_{ij}$$

The weighted sum of the squares of log \$\epsilon_{ij}\$ is minimized, subject to the constraint that the estimated index equals 100 in the base year. Minimize

subject to

$$\log \hat{Y}_b = \log 100$$

where W is the weight, in the number of years, and b the base year.

Because the procedure uses weights, the output data for at least one year must be complete. The weight base is defined as those years for which the data are complete, and the weight W_n as the ratio of the total value in the base years of those commodities produced in both year i and year j to the total value of all commodities produced in the base years. The calculation is as follows:

$$W_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{m} \gamma_h \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_k P_k Q_{hk}}{\sum_{h=1}^{m} \gamma_h \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_k Q_{hk}}$$

where Υ and δ are indicator functions. The function Υ , which indicates the years to be included in the weight base, is defined as

$$\gamma_h = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } Q_{hk} > 0 \text{ for all } k \\ 0 \text{ if } Q_{hk} = 0 \text{ for any } k \end{cases}$$

and the function $\,\delta$, which indicates the commodities to be included in the numerator, is defined as

$$\delta_k = \left\{ \begin{aligned} 1 & \text{if both } Q_{ik} \text{ and } Q_{jk} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if either } Q_{ik} \text{ or } Q_{jk} = 0 \end{aligned} \right.$$

Finally, additional constraints can be used to force the ratios of the index numbers for any pair of years to equal a reported percentage increase.

The partial derivatives of the objective function are a system of simultaneous equations whose solution is the index.

To make the procedures clear, indexes are calculated from the following hypothetical data:

	Year							
	Price	1	2	3	4	5		
Output								
A	120	2,500		3,125	3,750	4,000		
В	100	750	900	975	1,050			
C	40	3,750		5,250	5,625	6,000		
D	175	1,000	1,300		1,600			

The weight, sample ratio, final ratio, and error for each pair of years are as follows:

_	Year						
	1	2	3	• 4 .			
Year 2							
Weight	0.3608						
Sample ratio	1.2700						
Final ratio	1.2511						
Error	0.9851						
Year 3							
Weight	0.7415	1.1023					
Sample ratio	1.3000	1.0833					
Final ratio	1.3109	1.0478					
Error	1.0084	0.9672					
Year 4							
Weight	1.0000	0.3608	0.7415				
Sample ratio	1.5143	1.2126	1.1429				
Final ratio !	1.5086	1.2058	1.1508				
Error	0.9962	0.9944	1.0069				
Year 5							
Weight	0.6392		0.6392	0.6392			
Sample ratio	1.6000		1.2308	1.0667			
Final ratio	1.6075	1.2849	1.2263	1.0656			
Error	1.0047		0.9963	0.9990			

The weight base for the sample problem is years 1 and 4; as expected, the weight assigned to that pair of years is 1.000, and the error, relatively small. In contrast, output data for only one commodity were available for both year 2 and year 3. The weight assigned to that pair of years is 1.1023, and the error is relatively large. Finally, the index calculated by the procedure is:

	Index: 1=100
Year	
1	100.00
2	125.11
3	131.09
4	150.86
5	160.75

If the value of output in year 5 were known to be 61 percent greater than in year 1, the index could be constrained; the weights, sample ratios, final ratios, and errors would be as follows:

	Year							
	i	2	3	4				
Year 1								
Weight	0.3608							
Sample ratio	1.2700							
Final ratio	1.2515							
Error	0.9854							
Year 2								
Weight	0.7415	1.1023						
Sample ratio	1.3000	1.0833						
Final ratio	1.3118	1.0482						
Error .	1.0090	0.9675						
Year 3								
Weight	1.0000	0.3608	0.7415					
Sample ratio	1.5143	1.2126	1.1429					
Final ratio	1.5095	1.2061	1.1507					
Error	0.9968	0.9947	1.0069					
Year 4								
Weight	1.6392		1.6392	0.6392				
Sample ratio	1.6000		1.2308	1.0667				
Final ratio	1.6100	1:2865	1.2274	1.0666				
Error	1.0062		0.9972	0.9999				

The weights and sample ratios, of course, are the same but the final ratios and errors have changed. In particular, the error for years 1 and 5 is greater, but the final ratio is more accurate because it has been forced to equal a known value. The constrained index would be as follows:

	Index: 1=100	}
Year		
1	100.00	
2	125.15	
3	131.18	
4	150.95	
5	161.00	

The Derivation of the National GVIO Series

The provincial GVIO data from which the national GVIO series is derived are presented in table 1. The figures for 1957 are from Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976; the figures for the other years are calculated from the 1957 figures and the indexes presented in the appendix. These data are used to calculate the ratios of the value of output for each pair of years. The years 1957 and 1974, for which the data are complete, are the weight base.

Because the Chinese have reported the growth of the national GVIO between certain pairs of years, these data are used to constrain the index in the

Table 1

Gross Value of Industrial Output, by Province

	Gross Value of Industrial Output, by Province							Million			lion 195	n 1957 Yuan		
	1957	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977
Northeast						10.001	10.600	10 004	12 008	14 092	15,785	17.815	18.563	20,698
Heilungkiang	3,641	7,971	9,885		8,727	10,821		8,014	9,434	14,022	9.378	10,844	11.237	•
Kirin		5,138	6,344			6,254	7,517	0,014		97 077	40,727		,	
Liaoning	10,078	18,900	21,586		16,230	24,020	29,232	32,610	34,713	01,511	40,121	10,000		
North						0.000	10.070	1.4.400	15 695	16 014	18,569	19 607	20.167	20.727
Peking	2,100	5,602	6,767		6,345	9,068	12,079	14,400	14700	16.091	17 594	18 748	,	
Tientsin	3,911	6,882			7,684	9,835	12,097	13,730	10,700	20,001	17,524 22,648	24.873	21 279	24.556
Hopeh	2,519	6,723					13,445	14,534	10,009	20,100	6,264	24,010	_1,	,
Shansi	1,645						=	~ 450	c 010		6,018			
Inner Mongolia	631	3,009	3,321				4,487	5,452	6,018		0,010			
East								40.040	40.006	40.005	50 800	56 030	56 663	61.536
Shanghai	11,402	24,163	27,787		29,542		39,002	42,848	40,230	10 100	52,892 20,374	on 030	24 796	30,339
Shantung	3,640	6,174				9,191		14,324			23,435	20,302	27,100	32,447
Kiangsu	4,124	7,865			,	13,106		18,881		20,611	7,805	21,400	6,898	9,362
Chekiang	2,132	3,902			4,813	5,295	6,068	6,881	7,809	8,736	6,149	7,330	7,809	0,002
Anhwei	1,348	2,409	3,084		2,035	2,945	3,828	4,707	5,291	6,137		1,000	1,000	
Kiangsi	1,100				2,675	3,004		0.010	0.104	0.577	5,242 4,325	4,720		
Fukien	1,100	1,832	2,207			2,025	2,531	2,812	3,194	3,577	4,320	4,120		
Central-South									10.510		11 000	12,761	10.710	
Honan	1,560	3,988	5,168			5,843	7,654		10,710			12,701		12,451
Hupeh		4,140	5,133		3,704		7,500			- 0-0	9,238	0.004	8,427	10,357
Hunan		2,915	3,688		2,705	3,990		6,384	6,996	7,979	8,284	8,284	5,246	6,173
Kwangsi		1,308	1,635			1,975		3,022	3,524	4,082	4,528	5,063 19,929	20,928	22,602
Kwangtung		7,735	9,283		7,848	10,648	12,553	13,228	14,061	15,968	17,195	19,929	20,926	22,002
Northwest									~ 001	0.000	c 7c0	7 700	7,757	8,579
Kansu	695	2,172		2,097	2,760	3,505		5,591		6,298	6,769	7,732	7,921	9,978
Shensi		2,898	4,141				5,917	6,857				7,215 424	1,921	0,010
Ningsia			86						306				1,694	1,957
Tsinghai		344	477		394				,			1,385	1,927	2,152
Sinkiang		1,152	1,448		1,351	1,486	1,526		1,861		1,861		1,921	2,102
Southwest										10000	10.050	17 465	14001	19,910
Szechwan	4,283	6,929	1								13,852			3,740
Yunnan	000	1,503	1,885		1,319	1,922	2,306	2,541	2,735			3,447		
Kweichow		1,624	2,105							3,248			-	
Tibet	. 9	26	3	25	37			50	61	. 72	89	109	111	

Sources

1957: Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976, p. 20.

1965-77: Derived from output in 1957 and the indexes in the appendix.

way described in the previous section. The data used as constraints are presented in table 2.

Table 2

	Reported National Data Used as Constraints									
	1957=100	1964=100	1965=100	1970=100	1976=100					
1970	344		173.89							
1971	380									
1973	463									
1974.		295								
1975				154.57						
1976					114					
1977	619.77				• • •					
Sources 1957 = 100: 1970, 1971, and 1973: Derived from reported indexes with 1949 or 1950 as the base year and the absolute values in 1949, 1950, and 1957. See Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976. 1977: Derived from the reported average annual rate of growth of 13.5 percent between 1949 and 1977 by the method described above. See Chi-hua ching-chi, No. 2, 1978, p. 8. 1964=100: FBIS, 29 September 1975, E8. 1965=100: Derived from the reported average annual rate of growth of 11.7 percent between 1965 and 1970. See Chi-hua ching-chi, No. 2, 1978, p. 8. 1970=100: Derived from the reported average annual rate of growth of 9.1 percent between 1970 and 1975. See thid. 1976=100: Peking Review, No. 2, 1978, p. 12.										

The national index of the gross value of industrial output is presented in table 3. A comparison of the sample and final ratios for those years in which the index is constrained shows that the provincial data by themselves are a good approximation of the unpublished national data. There is, however, one national figure that was not used as a constraint. Vice Premier Yu Ch'iu-li said in a speech delivered on 23 October 1977 that the "gross value of industrial output rose by 15.1 percent" in 1975. ² The following tabulation of annual percent increases shows why the increase was not accepted: ³

	Percent	Change
	1974	1975
Sample	7.50	10.32
Without the 15.1 percent	4.5	9 90
With the 15.1 percent	-0.22	15.10

The 1974 sample includes 22 provinces (which produced an average of 85.68 percent of national output in the base years of 1957 and 1974), and the 1975 sample includes 23 provinces (which produced 89.17 percent of base year output). Because coverage is so good and the final increase without the 15.1

² Peking Review, No. 45, 1977, p. 7.

³ The sample increase and the final increase without the 15.1 percent are from table 3; the final increase with the 15.1 percent is from a separate set of calculations.

Table 3

National Index of the Gross Value of Industrial Output

National Index of the Gross Value of Industrial Output										
1964 WEIGHT	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973
SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.4422 1.6835 1.6401 0.9742									
WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR 966	0.9656 2.0489 1.9783 0.9655	0.4422 1.2525 1.2062 0.9630			•					
WEIGHT Sample Ratio Final Ratio Error	0.6430 2.5367 2.4979 0.9847	0.4422 1.5192 1.5231 1.0025	0.6430 1.1998 1.2627 1.0524							
968 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.6897 2.1547 2.1980 1.0201	0.3391 1.3640 1.3402 0.9825	0.6744 1.0718 1.1111 1.0367	0.5141 0.8811 0.8799 0.9987						
969 WEIGHT Sample Ratio Final Ratio Error	0.8319 2.7877 2.8025 1.0053	0.4237 1.7183 1.7088 0.9945	0.8166 1.3906 1.4167 1.0187	0.5987 1.1329 1.1219 0.9903	0.6894 1.2966 1.2750 0.9834					
970 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.9118 3.5718 3.4400 0.9631	0.4422 2.1505 2 2.0975 0.9753	0.9118 1.7252 1.7389 1.0079	0.6331 1.3855 2 1.3771 0.9939	0.6741 1.5817 1.5650 0.9895	0.8166 1.2279 1.2275 0.9997				
WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.9501 3.9471 3.8000 0.9627	0.4422 2.4189 2 2.3170 0.9579	0.9501 1.9365 1.9209 0.9919	0.6275 1.5579 1.5213 0.9765	0.6688 1.7908 1.7288 0.9654	0.8110 1.3891 1.3559 0.9761	0.9062 1.1292 1.1047 0.9783			
772 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.9283 4.3157 4.1963 0.9723	0.4138 2.6551 2.5586 0.9637	0.9283 2.0978 2.1212 1.0112	0.6057 1.6857 1.6799 0.9966	0.6460 1.8767 1.9091 1.0173	0.7883 1.4830 1.4973 1.0096	0.8835 1.2292 1.2199 0.9924	0.9218 1.0880 1.1043 1.0150		
773 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0-8568 4-6043 4-6300 1-0056	0.3550 2.8997 2.8231 0.9736	0.8568 2.2926 2.3404 1.0209	0.5342 1.8387 1.8535 1.0081	0.6404 2.0665 2.1064 1.0193	0.7238 1.6077 1.6521 1.0276	0.8029 1.3362 1.3459 1.0073	0.8469 1.1837 1.2184 1.0293	0.8478 1.0954 1.1034 1.0072	
P74 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	1.0000 4.9424 4.8382 0.9789	0.4422 2.9965 2.9500 0.9845	0.9656 2.4272	0.6430 1.9044 1.9369 1.0171	0.6897 2.2001 2.2012 1.0005	0.8319 1.7395 1.7264 0.9924	0.9118 1.4229 1.4064 0.9884	0.9501 1.2593 1.2732 1.0110	0.9283 1.1628 1.1530 0.9915	0.85 1.07 1.04 0.97
75 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.8917 5.5517 5.3172 0.9578	0.4138 3.2851 3.2421 0.9869	0.8917 2.7191 2.6878 0.9885	0.5931 2.1018 2.1287 1.0128	0.6165 2.4417 2.4191 0.9907	0.7587 1.9092 1.8973 0.9937	0.8379 1.5695 1.5457 0.9848	0.8818 1.4007	0.8827 1.2896 1.2671 0.9826	0.83 1.18 1.14 0.96
P76 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.7614 5.6690 5.4366 0.9590	0.4291 3.2955 3.3149 1.0059	0.7614 2.7266 2.7482 1.0079	0.4905 2.1186 2.1764 1.0273	0.5002 2.3703 2.4734 1.0435	0.6294 1.9381 1.9399 1.0009	0.7085 1.5688 1.5804 1.0074	0.7469 1.3853 1.4307 1.0328	0.7241 1.2734 1.2956 1.0174	0.66 1.18 1.17 0.99
77 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.6845 6.5463 6.1977 0.9467	0.3521 3.6545 2 3.7789 1.0340	0.6845 3.1819 3.1329 0.9846	0.4136 2.3901 2.4811 1.0381	0.4821 2.6254 2.8197 1.0740	0.5524 2.1997 2.2115 1.0053	0.6316 1.7886 1.8017 1.0073	0.6699 1.6016 1.6310 1.0183	0.6472 1.4830 1.4769 0.9959	0.656 1.366 1.336 0.979
	1974	1975	1976						••••	0.71
75 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.8917 1.1032 1.0990 0.9962									
76 WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.7614 1.0997 1.1237 1.0218	0.7035 0.9959 1.0224 1.0267								
WEIGHT SAMPLE RATIO FINAL RATIO ERROR	0.6845 1.2739 1.2810 1.0056	0.6266 1.1525 1.1656 1.0114	0.6845 1.1594 1.1400 0.9833	2						
VAL UE	1957 70•400	1964 115.460	1965 139.270	1966 175•853	1968 15 4. 741	1969 197-297	1970 242.176	1971 267 - 520	1972 2 95. 4 20	1973 325 . 952
VALUE	1974 340-608	1975 374-331	1976 382 -7 35	1977 436.318	/- / 44				2 /24 TEU	J43.Y34

percent is much closer to the sample increase in each year, the 15.1 percent was not used. The reconstructed national GVIO is summarized in table 4.

Table 4
Gross Value of Industrial Output

	Index	Million
	(1957 = 100)	1957 yuan
1957	100.00	70,400
1964	164.01	115,460
1965	197.83	139,270
1966	249.79	175,853
1968	219.80	154,741
1969	280.25	197,297
1970	344.00	242,176
1971	380.00	267,520
1972	419.63	295,420
1973	463.00	325,952
1974 .	483.82	340,608
1975	531.72	374,331
1976	543.66	382,735
1977	619.77	436,318

Sources

Index: Table 3. Million 1957 yuan:

1957: State Statistical Bureau, Ten Great Years, Peking,

1960, p. 87.

1964-66 and 1968-77: Derived from the value of output in

1957 and the index.

Finally, because 1967 estimates are available for only two provinces, the national GVIO total for 1967 was interpolated by the Kaplan-Moorsteen method. The method uses an interpolating index to establish the year-to-year pattern of change. The rate of growth of the interpolating index is adjusted so that it will fit exactly between the benchmark years at either end of the gap to be filled. 4 The formula is

$$KM_1 = \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{1+\beta}\right) \left(\frac{I_i}{I_{i-1}}\right) KM_{i-1}$$

where KM stands for the Kaplan-Moorsteen index, I for the interpolating index, and α and β for the rates of growth between the benchmark years of the Kaplan-Moorsteen and interpolating indexes, respectively. Here, the interpolating index is a value-added index based on the physical output of commodities and the benchmark years are 1966 and 1968. The calculations are shown in table 5.

⁴ For a complete description of the method, see Norman M. Kaplan and Richard H. Moorsteen, *Indexes of Soviet Industrial Output*, Santa Monica, 1960, pp. 61-68.

Table 5
Estimation of the National Gross Value of Industrial Output in 1967

111 175	••	
GVIO ¹ (Million 1957 Yuan)	Value-Added Index ² (1957=100)	Estimated GVIO (Million 1957 Yuan)
1 75,85 3	232	
	202	147,160
154,741	221	
Per	cent	
-6.19	-2.4	_
	GVIO 1 (Million 1957 Yuan) 175,853 154,741	(Million Index ² 1957 Yuan) (1957=100) 175,853 232 202 154,741 221 Percent

¹ Table 4.

² CIA, China: Economic Indicators, ER 77-10508, October 1977, p. 15.

APPENDIX

ANNUAL INDEXES OF PROVINCIAL INDUSTRIAL OUTPUT, SELECTED YEARS, 1949-77

The tables in this appendix present reconstructed GVIO indexes for every province in China. A considerable number of statements about increases over 1949, 1965, or the previous year are available for all provinces. The difficulty with these statements is that the reported increases do not all fit perfectly. They may not fit because they are given with differing numbers of significant digits or are sometimes preliminary (or revised) figures. On occasion the figures also may be mistranslated or garbled.

It is possible to use a least squares technique to estimate an index of the gross value of industrial output that reconstructs as closely as possible the unpublished time series from which the various reported statistics on growth have been calculated. This technique is fully described in appendix A of Field, Lardy, and Emerson, 1976.

The data in the tables are the provincial GVIO in the given year (listed at the left) expressed as a multiple of provincial GVIO in the base year (listed at the top). The rows labeled "reported" are taken from (or derived from) the Chinese press and broadcasts. A typical entry for a reported figure is as follows:

1.0890 1A(12)

The number 1.0890 is output in the given year as a multiple of output in the base year. The figure to the right of the multiple indicates how the multiple is used in calculations. The meaning of the figure is as follows:

- 0 = not used in the calculations. (Figures accurate to only one significant digit are not used if more precise data are available.)
- 1 = used in the calculations.
- 2 = forced to fit exactly by means of a Lagrangian multiplier.
- 3 = an estimated multiple that is forced to fit exactly.

The letter that follows the figure refers to a footnote. Finally, for a multiple of the previous year, the number in parentheses indicates how many months in the year the data cover.

TABLE A-1
ANHWEI PROVINCE

				^	NHWEI PROVI	NCE				
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1968	1968	1969	1970	1971
952 REPORTEO ESTIMATEO	1.7008 1									
957 REPORTEO ESTIMATEU	0.0 4.0459	2.3788 l 2.3788								
964 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATED 965	5.5624	3.2705	1.3748							
REPORTEO ESTIMATEO 966	U.O 7.2311	0.0 4.2516	0.0 1.7873	1.3000 1	(15)					
REPORTED ESTIMATED 968	0.0 9.2558	0.0 5.4420	0.0 2.2877	0.0 1.0040	1.2800 1	(8)				
REPORTED ESTIMATEO	0.0 6.1074	0.0 3.5909	0.0 1.5095	1.0940	0.0 0.8446	0.0				
969 REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.0 8.8387	0.0 5.1968	0.0 2.1846	0.0 1.5840	0.0 1.2223	0.0 0.9549	0.0			
970 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 11.4904	0.0 6.7559	0.0 2.8490	0.0 2.0657	1.6200 1	0.0	0.0 1.8814	1.3000 l 1.3900	(12)	
971 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 14.1277	0.U 8.3065	0.0 3.4919	0.0 2.5399	0.0 1.9537	0.0 1.5264	0.0 2.3132	0.0 1.5984	1.2000 1 1.2295	(12)
972 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 15.8793	0.U 9.3364	0.0 3.9248	0.0 2.8548	2.2500 l 2.1960	0.0 1.7156	2.6000 1 2.6000	0.0 1.7966	0.0 1.3820	1.0970 1 (1) 1.1240
973 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 18.4200	0.0 10.8302	0.0 4.5528	0.0 3.3115	0.0 2.5473	0.0 1.9901	0.0 3.0160	0.0 2.0840	0.0 1.6031	0-0 1-3038
974 REPORTED ESTIMATED	U-0 18.4564	0.0 10.8516	0.0 4.5618	0.0 3.3181	0.0 2.5524	0.0 1.9940	0.0 3.0220	0.0 2.0851	0.0	0.0 1.3064
975 REPORTED ESTIMATEO	22.0000 1 22.0000	0.0 12.9351	0.0 5.4377	0.0 3.9551	2.9500 l 3.0424	0.0 2.3769	0.0 3.6022	0.0 2.4890	2.0000 1 1.9146	0.0 1.5572
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U. 0 23.4369	0.0 13.7799	0.0 5.7928	0.0 4.2135	3.2000 1 3.2411	0.0 2.5321	0.0 3.8374	0.0 2.6516	0.0 2.0397	1.6589
	1972	1973	1974	1975						
973 REPORTED ESTIMATED	1.1600 l 1.1600	(12)								
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.O 1.1623	0.0 1.0020								
975 REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.0 1.3854	0.0 1.1944	1.1920 1 1.1920	(12)						
976 REPORTED ESTEMATED	0.0 1.4759	0.0 1.2724	0.0 1.2699	1.0790 1	(11)					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	197 I
VALUE	0.333	0.567	1.348	1.855	2.409	3.084	2.035	2.945	3.828	4.707
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976					
VALUE	5.291	6.137	6.149	7.330	7.809					

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/75:	BBC, FE/W872/A/8, 7 April 1976.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65:	BBC, FE/W349/A/39, 19 January 1966.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/23, 19 October 1966.
1965/70:	SCMP, No. 4831, 4 February 1971, p. 136.
1965/72:	FBIS, 6 July 1973, Cl.
1965/75:	BBC, FE/W860/A/3, 14 January 1976.
1965/76:	FBIS, 15 March 1977, Gl.
1968/72:	FBIS, 3 October 1973, Cl.
1969/70:	FBIS, 8 January 1971, C2.
1970/71:	FBIS, 6 January 1972, C3.
1970/75:	BBC, FE/W872/A/8, 7 April 1976.
1971/72:	FBIS, 12 January 1973, C20.
1972/73:	FBIS, 28 January 1974, C1.
1974/75:	BBC, FE/W860/A/3, 14 January 1976.
1975/76;	JPRS, No. 68,906, 11 April 1977, p. 27.

TABLE A-2 CHEKIANG PROVINCE

(STIMATED 1.5 1957 REPURTEO U.C ESTIMATEO 4.1 1965 REPURTED U.C ESTIMATED 7.6 1968 REPURTEU U.C	9281 1 9293 U 1699 U 6326 U	1952 2.1601 1 2.1614 U.O 3.9562 Q.O 4.8796	1.6293 1 1.6304	1965	1968	1 969	1970	197 1	1972	1973
REPURTED 1.5 (STIMATED 1.5 (PS7 REPURTED 0.4 ESTIMATED 4.1 (PS5 REPURTED 0.4 ESTIMATED 7.6 (PS5 REPURTED 7.6 (PS5 REPURTED 0.4 ESTIMATED 7.6 (PS6 REPURTEU 0.4	9293 U 1649 U 6326 U 4140	2.1614 U.O 3.9562 U.O	1.6304							
(STIMATED 1.5 1957 REPURTEO U.C ESTIMATEO 4.1 1965 REPURTED U.C ESTIMATED 7.6 1968 REPURTEU U.C	9293 U 1649 U 6326 U 4140	2.1614 U.O 3.9562 U.O	1.6304							
REPORTED U.G ESTIMATED 4.1 965 REPORTED U.G ESTIMATED 7.6 968 REPORTEU U.G	1699 U 0320 U 4140	2.1614 U.O 3.9562 U.O	1.6304							
ESTIMATEO 4.1 1965 REPURTED 0.4 ESTIMATED 7.6 1968 REPURTEU 0.4	1699 U 0320 U 4140	2.1614 U.O 3.9562 U.O	1.6304							
REPORTED U.G ESTIMATED 7.6 1968 REPORTEU U.G	0326 4140	3.9562	1.6304							
ESTIMATED 7.0 1968 REPORTEU U.O	0326 4140	3.9562								
REPORTED U.	4140		0.0							
	4140			u. u						
COSTANTED			2.2576	1.2334						
1969	O		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
REPURTED U.		0.0	0.0	U. U	1.1000 1/	A4121				
	3224	5.3616	2.4834	1.3547	1.1000					
1970	2224	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•							
REPORTED U.	ú	U. U	0.0	0.0	U+ 0	1.1400 1	{75}			
	8673	6.1512	2.8459	1.5548	1.2606	1.1460				
1971										
REPORTED U.	O	U.0	0.0	J. U	U. 0	0.0	1.1340 L	£703		
	4575	6.9755	3. 2273	1.7632	1.4295	1.2996	1.1340			
1972										
REPORTED U.	0	U+ U	0.0	4.0000 IB	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1350 1	183	
	2743	7.9172	3.6630	2.0012	1-6225	1.4750	1.2871	1.1350		
1973		-							1 1100 1	* * * * *
REPURTED U.	U	U. 0	0.0	U. J	Ú. Ú	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1180 1	*11.
	0869	8.8567	4.0917	2.6547	1-8150	1.6500	1.4398	1.2697	1.1187	
1974							_			G. U
	OUDU DC	0.0	0.0	2.0000 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8934
	2651	7.9124	3.6608	2.0000	1-6215	1,4741	1.2863	1.1343	0.9994	0.0734
1976									0.0	0.0
	5000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8833	0.7896
ESTIMATED 13.	4919	6.9933	3.2356	1.7677	1.4334	1.3029	1.1369	1.0026	0.0033	01.10.10
1977									0.0	1.0710 1
REPURTED 0.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1988	1.0716
	.3110	9.4912	4.3913	5-3441	1.9451	1.7683	1.5430	1.3607	1.1700	1.0110
	974	1976								
1976 REPURTED U.										
	86.88									
REPURTED U.	. 0	1.3580 1	(11)							
	1995	1.3572								
			1957	1965	1968	1 969	1970	1971	1972	1973
15	949	1952					6.408	6.881	7.809	8.736
VALUE U.	. Dii	0.486	2.132	3.902	4.813	5.245	0.000	0.001		
15	974	1976	1977							
VALUE 1.	. 805	6.896	9.302							

A. THE FIRST 11 MUNTHS OF 1969 OVER ALL OF 1968.

O. NEARLY THO TIMES THAT OF 1965.

INCREASED MORE THAN TEN TIMES (1.E., 10-20 TIMES) JVEN 1949.

D. NEARLY THO TIMES THAT OF 1965.

E. THE FIRST 11 MONTHS OF 1977 OVER THE CORRESPINGING PENTIC OF 1973.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 137.
1949/76:	FBIS, 12 September 1977, G2.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1957/65:	Derived from the absolute value in 1965 from a traveler's
	report and the absolute value in 1957.
1965/72:	FBIS, 9 July 1973, C6.
1965/74:	Great Changes, p. 142.
1968/69:	BBC, FE/W555/A/5, 28 January 1970.
1969/70:	China Trade and Economic News Letter, No. 188,
	June 1971, p. 2.
1970/71:	FBIS, 7 December 1971, C2.
1971/72:	FBIS, 3 October 1972, C2.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B4.
1973/77:	TKP (HK), 29 December 1977.
1976/77:	TKP (HK), 29 December 1977.

TABLE A-3
FUKIEN PROVINCE

1950	1949	1950	1952	1957	1984	1965	1966	1969	1970	1971
REPORTEO ESTIMATEO	1-1348	1 (12)								
1952 KEPURTEO	0.0	1.3663 1								
ESTIMATED	1.5505	1.3663								
1957 REPURTED	U_U	0.0	2.9565 1							
ESTIMATEO	4.5840	4.0395	2.9565							
REPURTEO ESTIMATED	5.8287	0.0 5.13e3	0.0 3.7593	0.U 1.2715						
1965										
REPURTEO ESTIMATEO	0.U 7.6356	0.0 6.7286	0.0 4.9247	0.0	1.3106 1	161				
1966	7.0376	0.7200	4.7241	1.6857	1.3100					
REPORTED ESTIMATED 1969	9.1978	0-Q 8-1052	0.0 5.9322	2.006>	0.0 1.5780	1.2046 1	(8)			
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 8.4378	0.0 7.4355	0.0 5.4421	0.0 1.8407	0.0 1.4476	0.0 1.1051	0.U 0.9174			
1970										
REPURTED ESTIMATEO 1971	0.0	0.0 9.2944	0.0 6.8026	0.0 2.3009	0-0 1- 80 95	0.0 1.3613	0.0 1.1467	1.2500 1 1.2500	(121	
REPURTED	0.0	10.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1110 1	[12]
ESTIMATED 1972	11-7180	10.3260	7.5577	2.5563	2.0104	1-5347	1.2740	1.3888	1.1110	
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1000 1 (12
1973 REPORTED	0.0	11.7290	8.5845	2-9036	2.2835	1.7432	1.4471	1.5776	1.2619	1.1359
ESTIMATED		13.1365	9.6146	0.Q 3.252Q	0.0 2 .56 76	0.0	0.0	0.0 1.7467	0.0	0.0 1.2722
1974 REPORTED	U. U	16.4000 1	0.0							
E STIMATED	18.0231	15.8822	11.6242	0.0 3.9317	0.0 3. 0 921	2.3000 L 2.3404	0.0 1.9595	0.0 2.1360	0.0 1.70m8	0.0 1.5381
1975 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5600 1				
ESTIMATEO		17.3315	12.6850	4.2906	3.3743	2.5758	0.0 2.1383	0.D 2.3309	0.0 1.8647	0.0 1.6784
	1972	1973	1974							
REPORTED ESTIMATED	1.1200	1 (12)								
974 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.3541	0.0 1.2090								
975 REPURTED	0.0	0.0	1.0980 1	(12)						
ESTIMATEL	1-4777	1.3193	1.0913	•••						
	1949	1950	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1969	1970	1977
VALUE	0.240	0-2T2	0.372	1.100	1.399	1.632	2.207	2.025	2.531	2.812
	1972	1973	1974	1975						
VALUE	3.194	3.577	4.325	4.720						

1949/50:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I and notes to table I.
1950/52:	Ibid.
1950/71:	FBIS, 5 October 1972, C1.
1950/74:	Great Changes, p. 157.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65:	BBC, FE/W327/A/21, 11 August 1965.
1965/66:	FBIS, 19 October 1966, DDD9.
1965/72:	FBIS, 19 July 1973, B4.
1965/74:	FBIS, 7 July 1975, G7.
1965/75:	Economic Reporter, No. 13, 1977, p. 13.
1969/70:	FBIS, 7 April 1971, C2.
1970/71:	FBIS, 3 October 1972, C5.
1971/72:	FBIS, 11 January 1973, B7.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B4.
1974/75:	FBIS, 6 December 1977, G3.

TABLE A-4

HEILUNGKIANG PROVINCE

	1949	1952	1957	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
952 KEPURTEO	2.4280 1									
ESTIMATED	2.4280									
KEPUKTED	0.0	2.0805 L								
ESTIMATEO P65	5.0515	2.0805								
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEÚ 266	11.0594	4.5550	2.1894							
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0	0.0 5.6481	0.0 2.7148	1.2400 1	(8)					
68	13.1131	3.0401	2.1140	1.2400						
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
E S T1 MATEU	12-1076	4.9867	2.3969	1.0948	0.8829					
769 KEPUR7ED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		1 2440	4.3.			
ESTIMATED		5.1835	2.9721	1.3575	0.0 1.0948	1.2400	1121			
70						112400				
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1680	193		
ESTLHATEU 271	17.5357	7.2223	3.4714	1.5856	1.2787	1.4483	1.1680			
KEPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATED		7.5966	3.6485	1.6664	1.3439	0.0 1.5222	0.0 1.2276	1.0510	[43]	
772		,,,,,	310103	110001	113437	******	******	1.0310		
REPORTED	u) _a u	0.0	0.0	1.7450 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	1.0470	L (12)
ESTIMATED 773	19.2962	7.9474	3.8199	1.7448	1.4071	1.5937	1.2853	1.1004	1.0470	
REPORTED	0.0	U_0	0.0	J. J	u. 0	0.0	u. 0	0.0	0.0	1 0720 1 11
ESTIMATED		8.5264	4.0983	1.8719	1.5096	1.7098	1.3789	1.1806	1.1233	1.0730 1 (1
74								141000		1.0,1,
REPORTED	21.9000 1		0.0	2.0000 14		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEO	21.9000	9.0198	4.3354	1.9802	1.5969	1.8088	1.4587	1.2489	1.1883	1.1349
KEPOKTEL	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2400 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	• •
	44.715T	10.1795	4.8928	2.2460 1	1.8023	2.0413	1.6462	1.4095	1.2411	0.0 1.2809
776								114025	11.7411	112003
REPORTED	0. O	0.0	0.0	2.3000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	25.7539	10.6071	5.0983	2.3247	1.8780	2.1271	1.7154	1.4687	1.3974	1.3347
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0. 6	0.0	U+0	D.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED		11.6269	5.6846	2.5965	2.0939	2.3717	1.9127	1.6376	1.5581	1.4881
	1973	1974	1975	1976						
74		•••								
REPORTED ESTIMATED	1.0580 1	(12)								
75 REPURTEL	0.0	1 1400 1	(11)							
ESTIMATED	1.1939	1.1400 l 1.1286	4413							
76										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	1.0550 1	€i∡)						
ESTLMATED	1.2440	1.1760	1.0420							
REPUFTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1150 1 (
ESTIMATED	1.3871	1.3112	1.1618	1.1150 1 (121					
23,10,8125	113011									
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
VALUE	0.721	1.750	3.641	7.971	9.885	8.727	10.821	12.639	13.284	13.908
	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977					

A. MURE THAN THE TIMES THAT OF 1965.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 77.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/1, 19 October 1966.
1965/72:	FBIS, 3 July 1973, G4.
1965/74:	FBIS, 10 January 1975, L1.
1965/75:	FBIS, 17 May 1976, L2.
1965/76:	FBIS, 31 March 1977, L2.
1968/69:	BBC, FE/W555/A/5, 28 January 1970.
1969/70:	FBIS, 12 November 1970, G2.
19 70/71 :	FBIS, 26 August 1971, G2.
1971/ 72 :	FBIS, 6 February 1973, G2.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B8.
1973/74:	FBIS, 10 January 1975, L1.
1974/75:	Radio Harbin, 19 December 1975.
1975/76:	FBIS, 15 March 1977, L4.
1976/77:	FBIS, 11 January 1978, L3.

TABLE A-5 HONAN PROVINCE

				HOI	IAN PROVINCE					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1969	1970	1971	1972
952		.,								
REPORTED ESTEMATED	3.8684 Z 3.8684									
957	U. U	1.9320 2								
REPORTED ESTIMATED	7.4737	1.9320								
964		_								
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0 14.2821	0.0 1.6920	1.8500 l 1.9110							
965										
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0	4.9387	0.0 2.5562	1.2950 1	(4)					
966										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	U.U	0.0	1-2960 1 (• :				
ESTIMATED	24.7598	5.4605	3.3129	1.7336	1.2960					
969						0.0				
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1305				
ESTEMATED	27.9915	7.2359	3.7453	1.9599	1.4652	1.1303				
970					1-8400 1	0.0	1.3100 1	(12)		
REPURTED	U. 0	0.0	V. V	0.0	1.9194	1.4810	1.3100			
ESTIMATEL	36.6689	9.4791	4.9064	2.5075	4.7677					
97 1				6	2.3600 1	0.0	0.0	1.1300 1	(12)	
REPORTED	U.Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2624	1.7457	1.5441	1.1787		
	43.2230	11.1733	5.7833	3-0204	TATATA					
972				U=0	2.6000 1	0.0	0.0	D.0	0.0	
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0		2.6857	2.0723	1.8330	1.3993	1.1671	
ESTIMATED	51.3092	13.2037	6.8653	3.5920	4.0031					
974				A 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	D.0	0.0	0.0
REPORTED	0.0	0. 0	0.0	0-0	2.8394	2.1909	1.9379	1.4793	1.2550	1.0572
ESTIMATED	54.2460	14.0228	7.2582	3.7982	4.0377			•••••	-	
975					3.2000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		2.4691	2.1841	1.6672	1.4144	1.1915
GSTAMLTED.	61.1352	15.8037	8.1800	4.2400	3.2000	2.4074	244444			
976				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0000 2
REPURTED	53.0000 1	0.0	0.0		2.6857	2.0723	1.8330	1.3993	1.1871	1.0000
ESTEMATED	>1.3092	13.2637	0 - 8055	3.5460	2.0001	1.0123				
	1974	1975								
1975										
REPORTED	1.1270 1	1111								
ESTIMATEU	1.1270									
1976	_									
PEPORTED	U. 0	0.0 U.8393								
ESTEMATED	0.9459	U.0373								
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1969	1970	1971	1972
v. 8.4. and	0.209	0.807	1.560	2.981	3.988	5.168	5.843	7.654	9.022	10.710
YALUE			1976							
	1974	1975	17/0							
VALUE	11.323	12.761	10.710							

^{4.} OUTPUT SLIPPED BACK TO THE 1972 LEVEL.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/76:	JMJP, 7 December 1976.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1957/64:	News from Chinese Provincial Radio Stations, No. 106, p. 5.
1964/65:	BBC, FE/W334/A/12, 29 September 1965.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/24, 19 October 1966.
1965/70:	SCMP, No. 4862, 22 March 1971, p. 21.
1965/71:	FBIS, 25 October 1972, B3.
1965/72:	FBIS, 28 September 1973, D2.
1965/75:	FBIS, 31 March 1976, H2.
1969/70:	FBIS, 31 December 1970, D2.
1972/76:	FBIS, 21 December 1977, H1.
1970/71:	FBIS, 12 January 1972, D7.
1974/75:	BBC, FE/W860/A/3, 14 January 1976.

TABLE A-6 HOPEH PROVINCE

				,,,,,,	PROVINCE	•				
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
952			-							
KEPURTEO	1.3835 1									
ESTIMATED	1.3835									
957										
REPORTED	0.0	5.5305 F								
ESTIMATED	2.8918	2.0902								
1965										
REPORTE 0	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEO	7.7174	5.5782	2.6657							
1970 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATED	15.4348			2.0000 I 2.0000						
971	13.4340	11.1564	5.3375	2.0000						
REPORTED	J.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0810 1	** **				
ESTIMATED			>.7698		1.0810	1121				
1972	10.6851	12.0600	3.1870	2.1020	1.0010					
FEPORTEQ	J.O	J.0	0.0	ن ـ ن	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATED	20.7087	14.9683	7.1012	2.4839	1,3417	1.2411				
973	20.1081	1447003	1.1012	2.0034	112711	142411				
REPORTEO	U.O	0.0	0.0	J.0000 L	0.0	0.0	1.1180	(12)		
ESTIMATEÓ	23.1523	16.7340	8.0062	3.0000	1.5000	1.3876	1-1180			
974	63.1363	1011340	0.0001	3.0000	11,3000	1.30.0	101100			
REPORTED	26.0000 1/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ø.0	1.1230	(12)	
ESTIMATED	Z0.0000	18.7929	8.9910	3.3690	1.6845	1.5583	1.2555	1.1230		
975		2011/27		202200		203303				
REPORTED	U. U	0.0	0.0	3.7000 L	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ESTIMATED	28.5545	20.6393	9.8743	3.7000	1.8500	1.7114	1.3789	1.2333	1.0982	
976										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	ن . ن	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	44.4283	17.6569	8.4474	3.1453	1.5827	1.4641	1.1796	1-0551	0.9395	0.855
1977										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4524 18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	26.1902	20.3760	9.7484	3.4528	1-8264	1.4895	1.3613	1.2176	1.0842	0.987
1977	1974									
REPORTED	1.1540 1	(12)								
ESTIMATEO	1.1540	****								
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
VALUE	U.871	1.205	2.519	6.723	13.445	14.534	18.039	20.168	22.648	24.673
	1976	1977								
VALUE	41.479	24.556								

A. THENTY-SIX TIMES THE EARLY POST-LIBERATION FIGURE. B. DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED AMNUAL RATE OF 11.4 PERCENT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 25.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/70:	China Trade and Economic News Letter, No. 188,
,	June 1971, p. 2.
1965/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1975, K3.
1965/75:	FBIS, 19 May 1976, K3.
1965/77:	BBC, FE/W962/A/7, 11 January 1978.
1970/71:	BBC, FE/W656/A/14, 19 January 1972.
1972/73:	FBIS, 22 January 1975, K1.
1973/74:	Ibid.
1976/77:	FBIS, 8 February 1978, K3.

TABLE A-7
HUNAN PROVINCE

						···				
1952	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
REPORTED	2-4214 1									
ESTIMATEU	2.4214									
957										
REPORTED	0.0	2.3623 1								
ESTIMATEO	5-7201	2.3623								
964										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEU	8-0910	3.3417	1.4146							
965										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2914 1	(11)					
ESTIMATED	10.2047	4.2144	1.7840	1-2611						
966										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2650 1	18)				
ESTIMATED 968	12.9089	5.3312	2 - 2568	1.5954	1.2650					
REPORTED	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATED	9.4697		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
676 F 2 1 7 - W 1 ED	7.4071	3.9108	1.6555	1.17u3	0.9280	0.7336				
REPORTED	0.0									
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4750 1	(8)		
ESTIMATED 970	13.9677	5.7485	2-4419	1.7262	1.3688	1.0820	1.4750			
REPORTED	0.0									
		0.0	0.0	0-0	0-0	0.0	0.0	1.4400 1	1123	
ESTIMATEU 971	20.3929	8.4219	3.5651	2-5203	1.9984	1.5797	2.1535	1.4600		
REPORTED	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0800 1	1121
ESTIMATED 972	22.3483	9.2295	3.9070	2.7619	2.1900	1.7312	2.3600	1-6000	1.0959	
				_						
REPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4000 1	u.o	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0800 1 (
+STIMATED	24-4913	10-1145	4.2816	3.0266	2-4000	1.8972	2.5863	1.7534	1.2010	1-0959
KEPORTED	u_0									
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3899 IA	0.0
ESTIMATED 74	27.9332	11.5360	4.8834	3.4521	2.7373	2.1639	2.9498	1.9996	1.3697	1.2499
REPURTED	29.0000 2	0.0	0.0	3.5000 1	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0. D
ESTIMATEO	29.0000	11.9765	5.0699	3.5840	2.8416	2.2465	3.0624	2-0762	1.4221	1.2976
975										
REPORTED	29.0000 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2-9100 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEO	29.0000	11.9765	5.0699	3.5840	2.8418	2.2465	3.0024	2.0762	1.4221	1.2976
976										
REPORTED	∠9.5000 le		0.0	0.0	2.9000 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED 977	29.5000	12.1830	5.1573	3.6458	2.8908	2.2852	3-1152	2.1120	1-4466	1-3200
REPORTED	u.o	0.0								
ESTIMATED	36.2555	14.9729	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0
CSILINATED	30.2333	14.9129	6.3383	4-4800	3.5528	2.8086	3.0286	2.5457	1.7778	1.6223
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976					
773										
REPORTEO	1-1240 1	(11)								
ESTIMATED	1.1405									
74										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATED	1.1841	1.0382								
75										
REPORTED	u.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEU	1-1841	1.0382	1.0000							
76										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATED	1.2045	1.0561	1.0172	1.0172						
77										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2290 1 1	121				
E 5 T1 MATED	1-4803	1.2979	1-2502	1-2502	1.2290					
			1057	104						
	10.40		1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	197 1
	1949	1952	1737							
V a lue	1949 U.286	1952 0.692	1.634	2-311	2.915	3.688	2.705	3.990	5.425	6. 384
VALUE	U-266	0.692	1.634	2-311	2.915	3.688	2.705	3.990	5.825	6.384
VALUE				∡-311 1975	2.915	3.688 1977	2.705	3-990	5.825	6.384
VALUE VALUE	U-266	0-692	1.634				2.705	3.990	5.825	6.384

A. DERIVEO FROM THE REPORTEO ANNUAL RATE OF 11.6 PERCENT.

B. REPORTED TO BE 20.5 TIMES THAT OF 1949. INDEXES FOR GYIAO AND GYAO. AND THE SHARES OF GYIO AND GYAO IN GYIAO IN 1949 AND 1976 INDICATE THAT 29.3 IS THE TRUE VALUE.

1949/52	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74	FBIS, 3 February 1975, H3.
1949/75	Peking Review, No. 30, 23 July 1976, p. 19.
1949/76	FBIS, 13 July 1977, G6.
1952/57	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65	News from Chinese Regional Radio Stations, No. 139,
,	6 January 1966, p. 23.
1964/74:	FBIS, 3 February 1975, H3.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/24, 19 October 1966.
1965/72:	FBIS, 19 July 1973, B2.
1965/75:	FBIS, 18 May 1976, H3.
1965/76	JPRS, No. 67524, 30 June 1976, p. 4.
1968/69:	FBIS, 1 October 1969, D3.
1969/70:	FBIS, 29 April 1977, E3.
1970/71:	FBIS, 10 January 1972, D7.
1970/73:	FBIS, 29 April 1977, E3.
1971/72:	FBIS, 29 September 1972, D2.
1972/73:	FBIS, 10 January 1974, D10.
1976/77:	FBIS, 12 January 1978, H1.

TABLE A-8
HUPEH PROVINCE

	Mr. 03			HUPEH	PROVINCE					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
52								1707	1774	4771
REPORTEO	2.0169 1	1								
FSTEMATEO 57	2.0169									
REPORTED	0.0	2.9278 1								
ESTIMATEU 64	5.9051	2.9278								
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEO	7.9955	3.9642	1.3540							
KEPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2316 1	(121					
45 TEMATED	9.7237	4.8211	1-6467	1.2162	••••					
≺EPORTEO	U_0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2400 1	101				
ESTIMATED 888	12.0574	5.9782	2.0419	1.5083	1.2406	10)				
REPORTED	U.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ESTEMATED	6.6995	4.3133	1.4732	1.0881	0.8947	0-0 0-7215				
KEPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ESTIMATEU 770	11.7444	5.8230	1.9889	1.4649	1-2078	0.0 0.9740	1.3500 1 1.3500	(12)		
KEPORTEO	0.0	0.0	2.9833 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
ESTIMATED 71	17.6166	8.7345	2.9833	2.2033	1.8117	1-+611	0.0 2.0250	1.5000 1 1.5000	1121	
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
ESTEMATEU 74	∠0.5234	10-1757	3.4755	2.5009	2.1107	1.7021	2-3591	0.0 1.7475	1.1650 I 1.1650	(6)
REPORTED	21.7000 1	0.U	0.0	2.6800 1	2-2600 L	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	
ESTIMATEU 76	∠1.7000	10.7591	3-6748	2.7140	2.2317	1.7997	2.4944	1.8477	0.0 1.2316	0.0 1.0573
NEPORTEU	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.0	2-5000 1	0.0	0.0	D.0		• •
ESTIMATEO 277	24.2802	12.0384	4-1118	3.0366	2-4970	2.0137	2.7910	2.0674	0.0 1.3783	Q.D 1.1531
REPORTED	4-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0042 14	0.0	0.0	0.0	U_0	0.0
ES FINATED	29-2470	14.5009	4.9528	3.6579	3.0018	2. 4254	3.3619	2.4903	1.6002	1-4251
• •	1974	1976								
76										
REPORTED ESTIMATED 77	1-1189									
REPORTED	u. 0									
ESTIMATED	1-3478	1.2060 1 1.2046	(12)							
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
VALUE	J-426	0.859	2.514	3.404	4-140	5.133	3.704	5.000	7.500	6.738
	1974	1976	1977							
VALUE	9.238	10-337	12-451							

A. DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 9.6 PERCENT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 164.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1957/70:	Roland Berger, "Financial Aspects of Chinese Planning,"
	Bulletin of Concerned Asian Scholars, vol. 6, No. 2, p. 16.
1964/65:	News From Chinese Provincial Radio Stations, No. 139,
	6 January 1966, p. 26.
1964/74:	FBIS, 16 April 1975, H2.
1965/66:	SCMP, No. 3081, 14 October 1966, p. 12.
1965/74:	Great Changes, p. 164.
1965/76:	FBIS, 16 January 1978, H1.
1965/77:	FBIS, 23 January 1978, H2.
1968/69:	FBIS, 2 January 1970, D15.
1969/70:	FBIS, 1 April 1971, D3.
1970/71:	FBIS, 2 July 1971, D2.
1976/77:	FRIS 16 January 1978 H2

TABLE A-9 INNER MONGOLIA PROVINCE

	1947	1949	1952	1957	1962	1965	1966	1970	1971	1972
1949	1747	1747	1732	1737	1702	1703	1700	1770	1971	1972
REPORTED	1.2914 1									
ESTIMATED	1.2914									
952	*****									
REPORTED	0.0	2.3439 1								
ESTIMATEO	3.0269	2.3439								
1957	310207	213137								
REPORTED	Ú.O	0.0	3.9385 1							
ESTIMATED		9.2315	3.9385							
962	******	,,,,,,,	30,200							
REPORTED	22.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATEO		17.0358	7.2681	1-8454						
965		4								
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0					
ESTIMATED		44.0194	18.7804	4.7684	2.5839					
966										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1038 IA(121			
ESTIMATEO		48.5890	20.7300	5.2634	2.8522	1.1038				
970				2222						
REPORTED	U. O	0.0	U.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3510 1			
ESTIMATED.		65.6442	28.0064	7.1109	3.8533	1.4913	1.3510			
97)										
	103.0000 IB	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1-4150 1	(8)	
ESTIMATED		79.7584	34.0281	8-6399	4.6818	1.8119	1.0415	1.2150		
972										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0000 10	0.0	0.0	1.1038 I	1121
ESTIMATED		88.0380	37.5605	9.5368	5.1678	2.0000	1.6119	1.3411	1.1038	
974										
REPORTEO	U.O	0.0	0.0	0_0	0.0	2.0000 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED		58.0358	37.5608	9.5368	5.1679	2.0000	1.6119	1.3411	1.1038	1.000
						•		****		
	1947	1949	1952	1957	1962	1965	1966	1970	1971	1972
VALUE	U.053	Q.068	0.160	0.631	1.104	3.009	3.321	4-487	5.452	6.018
	1974									
VALUE	6.018									

^{1.} THE PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN 1966 AND 1972 WERE ESTIMATED SO AS TO LINK THE INCREASE REPORTED FOR 1965-1972 AND DERIVED FOR 1966-1971.

DOE HUNDRED AND THRES TIMES THE EARLY POST-LIBERATION FIGURE.

C. HEARLY THU TIMES THAT OF 1965.

1947/49:	Statistics on the IMAR, 1960, pp. 38-39.
1947/62:	TKP (HK), 9 October 1963.
1947/71:	FBIS, 20 September 1972, F4.
1949/52:	Statistics on the IMAR, 1960, pp. 38-39.
1952/57:	Ibid.
1965/72:	FBIS, 31 July 1973, F1.
1965/74:	Great Changes, p. 54.
1966/70:	FBIS, 24 May 1971, F2.
1970/71:	FBIS, 12 October 1971, F1.

TABLE A-10 KANSU PROVINCE

	1949	1952	1957	1965	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
952 REPORTEO ESTIMATED	1.8284 1									
957 REPURTED	0.0	2.5481 1								
P65	4.6589	2.5461								
REPORTEO ESTIMATED 967	0.0 14.5595	0.0 7.9630	0.0 3.1251							
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U-0 14-0541	0.0 7.6865	0.0 3.0166	0.0						
968 REPORTED ESTIMATEU	0.0 18.5016	0.0	0.0 3.9712	0.0 1.2708	0.0					
969 REPORTED	Ų. U	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2700 1	(11)			
ESTIMATEU 970	23.49Tu	12-8512	5.0434	1.6139	1.6719	1.2700				
REPORTED ESTIMATED 971	0.0 29.3T13	0.0	0.0 6.3043	0.0 2.0173	0.0 2 .0699	0.0 1.5875	1.2500 1 1.2500	(12)		
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 31.4714	U.0 20.4974	0.0 8.0442	0.0	0.0 2.6667	0.0 2.0254	0.0 1.5950	1-2780 1 1 1-2760	93	
972 REPORTED	40-0000 1	0.0	0.0	2.7000 l 2.7307	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0625 1	(8)
ESTIMATED 973 REPORTED	39.1571 43.0000 1	21.7442 U.O	8.5335	2.7307	2.6289	2.1488	1.6920	1-3536	1.0508	1.0580 1 (1)
ESTIMATED	42.215T	23.0069	9.0612	2-8995	3.0038	2.2017	1.1966	1.4373	1.1264	1.0618
REPORTEO ESTIMATEO	45.0000 1 45.3142	0.0 24.8163	0.0 9.7392	3.0000 CA 3.1165	0.0 3.2265	0.0 2.4524	0.0 1.9311	0.0 1.54+8	0.0 1.2107	0.0 1.1413
975 REPORTED ESTIMATED	51.0000 1 51.8339	0.0 28.3493	0.0 11.125T	1.0000 1	0. 0 3.6882	0.0 2.8016	0.0 2.2060	1.7620 18 1.7648	0.0 1.3831	0.0 1.3038
976 REPORTED	52.0000 1	0.0	0.0	3.5000 0	3.7000 1	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED 977	52.0000	28.4402	11.1613	3.5716	3.7000	2. 6106	2.2130	1.7704	1.3875	1.3079
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 5T.5120	0.0 31.4548	0.0 12.3444	0.0 3.9501	0.0 4.0922	0.0 3.1085	0.0 2.4476	0.0 1.9581	0.0 1.5346	0.0 1.4466
	1973	1974	1975	1976						
P74 REPORTED ESTIMATED	1.0910 1 1.0746	(11)								
975 REPORTED ESTIMATED 976	0-0 1-22T8	1.1500 1 1.1424	1123							
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U_0 1-2316	0.0 1.1460	0.0 1.0032							
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.3623	0.0 1.26T5	0.0 1.1095	1.1060 1 6	111					
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
VALUE	J. 149	0.273	0.695	£-172	2.097	2.760	3.505	4.381	5.591	5.931
	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977					
VALUE	6-298	6. T69	7.132	7.151	8.519					

A. MORE THAN THREE TIMES THAT OF 1965. 8. GERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 12 PERCENT.

1949/72:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/72:	Rewi Alley, "Northwest Return," Eastern Horizon,
,	vol. 13, No. 8, 1974, p. 13.
1949/73:	FBIS, 11 October 1974, M2.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 209.
1949/75:	FBIS, 15 March 1976, M1.
1949/76:	FBIS, 16 March 1977, M5.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/72:	FBIS, 27 June 1973, H5.
1965/73:	FBIS, 11 October 1974, M2.
1965/74:	Great Changes, p. 209.
1965/75:	<i>JPRS</i> , no. 66,673, 26 January 1976, p. 5
1965/76:	FBIS, 16 March 1977, M5.
1967/76:	FBIS, 9 January 1978, M2.
1968/69:	FBIS, 5 January 1970, H1.
1969/70:	La Nouvelle Chine, April 1972, p. 41.
1970/71:	BBC, FE/W647/A/11, 10 November 1971.
1970/75:	NCNA-Lanchow, 21 February 1976.
1971/72:	FBIS, 4 October 1972, H2.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B9.
1973/74:	FBIS, 13 December 1974, M2.
1974/75:	JPRS, No. 66,673, 26 January 1976, p. 5.
1976/77:	FBIS, 9 January 1978, M4.
_5.5,	• •

TABLE A-11
KIANGSI PROVINCE

				-		
1952	1949	1952	1957	1968	1969	
REPORTED ESTIMATED 1957	2.8744 1 2.8744					
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 5.4558	2.0400 L 2.0400				
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 13.2680	0.0 4.9611	0.0 2.4319			
REPORTED ESTIMATED 1974	14.9000 1 14.9000	0.0 5.5713	0.0 2.7311	1-1230 1 1-1230	[0]	
REPORTED ESTIMATED	26.0000 1 26.0000	0.0 9.7218	0.0 4.7656	0.0 1.9596	0.0 1.7450	
	1949	1952	1957	1968	1969	1974
VALUE	0.202	0.539	1-100	2.675	3,004	5.24

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/69:	BBC, FE/W541/A/1, 15 October 1969.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 146.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1968/69:	BBC, FE/W531/A/4, 6 August 1969.

TABLE A-12
KIANGSU PROVINCE

				• •·· -— · • · ·	KIANGSU PRO	VINCE				
1952	1949	1952	1957	1963	1965	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
REPORTEO	1.5680 1 1.5680									
957 AFPORTEO ESTIMATEU	u.0 2.1028	1.7620 1 1.7620								
963 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 4.0217	0.0 2.5649	0.0 1.4557							
965 REPORTED ESTIMATEO	u_0 5-2692	0.0 3.3605	0.0	0.0 1.3102						
768 REPORTED ESTIMATED	U_0 0_1639	0.0 3.9310	0.0	0.0 1.5326	0.0 1.1698					
969 KEPURTED	u. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4300 1	(8)			
ESTIMATED 970 REPORTED	8.75QO U.O	5-5995 0-0	3.1779 0.0	2.1#31	1.6663	1.4244				
ESTIMATED	10.5823	6.7489	3.8303	0.0 2.6313	0.0 2.0083	0.0 1.7168	1.2100	l (A)		
REPORTED ESTIMATED 972	12.6000 1 12.6493	0.0 8.0672	0.0 4.5784	0.0 3.1452	0.0 2.4906	0+0 2+0522	0.0 1.4407	1.2000	112)	
REPURTED ESTIMATED 973	0.0 12.4069	0.0 7.9126	0.0 4.4907	0.0 3.0050	2.4000 iB 2.3546	2.0050 JA 2.0128	0.0 1.4131	0.U 1.1724	0.0 0.9806	
REPORTED ESTIMATEO	13.8000 1 13.8083	0.0 8.8063	0.0 4.9979	0.U 3.4334	0.0	0.0 2.2402	U.O 1.5727	0.0 1.3048	0.0 1.0916	1-1300 1 ((
974 REPURTED ESTIMATEO	15.7000 1 15.7000	0.0 10.0128	0.0 5.6826	0.u 3.903#	0.0 2.9746	0+0 2+5471	0.0 1.7 4 82	0.0 1.4836	0.0 1.2412	0.0
975 REPORTED ESTIMATED	18.4000 2	0.0 11.7347	0.0	0.0 4.5751	0.0 3.4940	0.0 2.9851	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
976 REPORTEO ESTIMATEO	18.5000 2	0.0 11.7985	U. 0 6.6961	4.6000 1	3.4445 18	0.0	0.0	1.7387 0.D	1.4546	1.4830 0.0
977 REPORTEO	0. 0	0.0	0.0	4.6000 U.O	3.510 9 0.0	3.0014 0.0	2.1071 u.0	1.7482	0.0	1-4911 0.0
ESTIMATEO	21.7375	13.8632	7.8679	5.+050	4-1254	3.5266	2.4758	2.0541	1.7185	1.7521
74	1973	1974	1975	1976						
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.1370									
REPORTED ESTIMATED 76	0.0 1.3325	0.0 1.1720								
REFURTED ESTEMATED	D.Ü 1.3398	U.O 1.1783	0.0 1.0054							
REPURTEU ESTIMATEO	0.0 1.5742	0.0 1.3846	0.0	1.1750 1 1.1750	(12)					
	1949	1952	1957	1963	1965	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
ALUE	1.493	2.341	4-124	b.003	7.865	9.201	13.106	15.796	18.881	18.520
	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977					

A. OFFIVEO FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 19 PERCENT.

3. OFFIVEO FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 11.9 PERCENT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table 1.
1949/71:	Peking Review, No. 25, 23 June 1972, p. 17.
1949/73:	FBIS, 22 November 1974, G1.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 116.
1949/75:	FBIS, 6 October 1976, G9.
1949/76:	FBIS, 17 June 1977, G3.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table 1.
1963/76:	FBIS, 17 June 1977, G3, as corrected in FBIS, 23 June 1977, G4.
1965/72:	FBIS, 1 October 1973, C4.
1965/76:	FBIS, 20 May 1977, E5.
1968/69:	FBIS, 3 October 1969, C13.
1968/72:	FBIS, 25 July 1973, C11.
1969/70:	FBIS, 16 July 1970, C6.
1970/71:	FBIS, 14 January 1972, C5.
1972/73:	FBIS, 18 January 1974, C9.
1976/77:	FBIS, 16 January 1978, G8.

TABLE A-13 KIRIN PROVINCE

					ROTHICE					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1969	1970	1971	1972
952								•		
REPORTEL	∠.7550 l									
ESTIMATED	2.7550									
957										
REPORTED	0.0	2.1579 1								
ESTIMATEO	>.9450	2.1579								
964										
REPORTED	4.0	U.O	0.0							
ESTEMATED	11.5246	4.1832	1.9385							
1965										
REPORTED	U.O	0.0	0.0	1.2300 1	(0)					
ESTEMATED	14.1753	5.1453	2.3844	1.2500						
1966										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.O	0.0					
ESTIMATEO	17.5000	6.3521	2.9436	1.5185	1.2345					
1969										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U_0	0.0				
ESTIMATEU	17.2517	6.2620	2.9019	1.4959	1.2170	0.9858				
1970										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	u.u	Q.Q	1.1850 1	1.5080 1 1	121		
ESTIMATED	20.7375	7.5272	3.4882	1.7994	1.4629	1.1850	1.2021			
1971										
REPORTED	22.0000 IA		0.0	0.0	0.0	Q.0	0.0	1-0714	(12)	
ESTEMATEN	∠2.1088	B.0250	3.7119	1.9184	1.5597	1.2634	1.4415	1.0661		
1972										
REPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	1.8450 1	0.0	1.5011 18	0.0	0.0	
ESTIMATEŬ	26.0247	9.4463	4.3776	2.2542	1.8359	1.4871	1.5085	1.2560	1-1771	
1974							_			
REPORTED	26.0000 I	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0000 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U. O	0.0
ESTIMATED	23.8720	9.3909	4.3519	2.4449	1.8252	1.4784	1.4997	1.2476	1.1702	0.9941
1975										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	29.9153	10.6586	5.0320	2.5958	2.1104	1.7094	1-7340	1.4426	1.3531	1.1495
1976										0.0
REPORTED	31.0000 10		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ESTIMATEO	31.0000	11.2523	5.2145	2.6899	2.1869	1.7714	1.7949	1-4949	1.4022	1.1912
	1974	1975								
1975										
KEPORTED	1.1620 1	1151								
ESTIMATED	1-15=3									
1976										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATED	1.1982	1.0363								
	1949	1053	10.67	1964	1965	1986	1969	1970	1971	1972
		1952	1957							9.434
ANTHE	1.302	0.999	2.155	4.178	5. 138	6.344	6.234	7-51/	8.014	7.234
	1974	1975	1976							
VALUE	9.378	10.844	11.237							

A. THENTY-THE TIMES THE EARLY POST-LIBERATION FIGURE.
b. DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 14.5 PERCENT.
c. Nearly 31 Times the 1949 Figure.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/71:	FBIS, 28 November 1972, G2.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 66.
1949/76:	FBIS, 31 March 1977, L9.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65:	FBIS, 14 September 1965, DDD6.
1965/72:	FBIS, 4 June 1973, G3.
1965/74:	Great Changes, p. 66.
1965/75:	FBIS, 5 January 1976, L3.
1966/70:	SCMP, No. 4874, 8 April 1971, p. 135.
1969/70:	FBIS, 31 March 1977, L9.
1969/72:	FBIS, 8 May 1973, G5.
1970/71:	BBC, FE/W655/A/11, 12 January 1972.
1974/75:	FBIS, 31 March 1977, L9.

TABLE A-14
KWANGSI PROVINCE

				KW	ANGS! PROV	INCE				
1950	1949	1950	1952	1957	1958	1964	1965	1966	1969	1970
HEPORTED ESTIMATED 952	0.0 1.2086									
REPORTED ESTIMATEO 957	U.O 1.7707	1.4658 1								
REPORTED + 5 TIMATED	0.0 4.1172	0.0 3.4065	2.3265 1 2.3252							
958 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0	0.a 5-3351	0.0 3.6417	1.5070 1	1121					
P64 FEPURTEO ESTIMATEO	0.0 5.3281	0.0 4.4083	0.0	0.0 1.2941	0.0 0. 8 263					
765 REPORTED ESTIMATEO	0.0 7.5127	0.0 4.2158	0.0	0.0 1.8247	0.0	1.4100 1	(6)			
766 REPORTED ESTIMATEO	0.0 9.3908	0-0 7-7697	0.0 5.3035	0.0	0.0 1.4563	0.0 1.7625	1.2500 1	(8)		
769 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 11.3416	0.0 9.3837	0.0 6.4053	0.0	0.0 1.7589	0.0 2.1286	0.0 1.5097	0.0 1.2077		
970 REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 13.9734	0.0 11.5412	0.0 7.8916	0.0 3.3939	0.0 2.1670	0.0	1.8500 1	0.0	1.2280 1 1.2320	112)
	0.0 17.3519	0.0 14.3565	0.0 9.7996	0.0 4.2145	0.0 2.6910	0. 0 3. 2567	0.0 2.3097	0.0	0.0	1.2287 1 61
PT2 PEPORTEG ESTIMATEG	0-0 20-2372	0.0 16.7437	0.0 11.4291	0.0 4.9153	0.0 3.1384	0.0 3.7982	2.7000 1 2.6938	0.0 2.1550	0.0	0.0 1.4483
PFORTED ESTIMATED	0-0 23-4416	0.6 19.3950	0.0 13.2388	U.O >.6935	0.0 3.6354	0.0	0.0 3.1203	0.0	0.0	0.0
974 REPORTED ESTIMATEO	26.0000 1 26.0000	21.5000 1 21.5117	0.0 14.6837	0.0 6.3149	0.0 4.0321	0.0 4.8798	3.4800 L 3.4408	0.0 2.7687	2.3000 l 2.2924	0.0 1.8607
975 FEPORTED ESTIMATEO	0.0 49.0740	0.0 44.0550	0.0 16.4197	0.0 7.0al5	0.0 4.50e9	0.0 5.4567	3.8700 1 3.8700	0.0 3.0960	0.0 2.5635	0.0
976 FEPURTEO ESTIMATED 977	0.0 30.1247	0.U 24.9243	0.0 17.0131	0.0 7.3167	0.0 4.4718	0.0 5.6539	4.0000 1 4.0099	0.D 3.2079	0.0 2.6561	2.1600 1 2.1559
REPORTED ESTIMATED	u.0 35.4459	0.0 29.3269	0.0 20.0183	0.0 8.6091	5-5000 1 5-4970	0.0 6.6526	0.0 4.7182	0.0 3.7745	0.0 3.1253	0.0 2.5367
270	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976				
772 REPORTED ESTIMATED 773	1.1540 i 1.1663	(12)								
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.3510	1-1488 I 1-1563	(11)							
REFURTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.4984	0.0 1-2848	1.1000 1 (1101						
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.6756	0.0 1.4367	0.0 1.2403	0.0 1.1182						
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.7361	0.0 1.4886	0.0 1.2851	0.0 1.1585	0.0 1.036L					
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0 ∠.0428	0.0 1.7515	0.0 1.5121	0.0 1.3633	0.0 1.2192	1.1760 1	(12)			
	1949	1950	1952	1957	1958	1964	1965	1966	1969	1970
/ALUE	0.174	0.210	0.308	0.717	1-123	0.928	1-308	1-635	1.975	2.433
VALUE	1971 3.022	1972 3+524	1973	1974	1975 5.063	1976	1977			
	J. 044	34.26.7	4.046	4.360	2.003	5.246	6-173			

1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 200.
	- · ·
1950/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I and notes to table I.
1950/74:	BBC, FE/W845/A/2, 24 September 1975.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1957/58:	Kwang-si jih-pao, (Kwangsi Daily), 4 October 1959.
1959/77:	FBIS, 10 January 1978, H5.
1964/65:	BBC, FE/W340/A/37, 10 November 1965.
1965/66:	SCMP, No. 3801, 14 October 1966, p. 15.
1965/70:	SCMP, No. 4848, 2 March 1971, p. 70.
1965/72:	FBIS, 17 October 1973, D4.
1965/74:	FBIS, 14 April 1975, H3.
1965/75:	FBIS, 16 November 1976, H7.
1965/76:	FBIS, 4 May 1977, H4.
1969/70:	FBIS, 11 February 1971, D4.
1969/74:	BBC, FE/W823/A/4, 23 April 1975.
1970/71:	FBIS, 6 January 1972, D15.
1970/76:	FBIS, 12 December 1977, H3.
1971/72:	FBIS, 13 February 1973, D1.
1972/73:	JPRS, No. 61,103, 30 January 1974, p. 13.
1973/74:	FBIS, 5 December 1974, H4.
1976/77:	BBC, FE/W965/A/5, 1 February 1978.

TABLE A-15 KWANGTUNG PROVINCE

				KHANG	TONG PROFIL	ACE.				
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
1952 Reported	2 0172 1									
ES TIMATED	2.0173 I 2.0173									
REPCRTEO	0.0	2.1845 1								
ESTIMATED	4.4068	2.1845								
REPORTED	B.0000 1	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATED	T.912T	3.9224	1.7956							
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2200 1	(12)					
ESTIMATEO	9.5482	4. T332	2.1667	1.2067						
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.6 11.4584	0.0 5.6801	0.0 2.6002	0.0 1.4481	1.2000 1 1.2001	(12)				
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATED	9.6810	4.8020	2.1982	1.2242	1.0145	0.8454				
REPORTED	13.0000 1		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.14TO 1A	1.3800 1	(12)		
ESTIMATEO 1970	13.1434	6.5153	2.9825	1.0010	1.3765	1.1471	1.3566			
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1860 1	1 (9)	
ESTIMATEO 1971 REPORTEO	0.0	7.6815	3.5164	1.9586	1.6229	0.0	1.599T	1_1790		
ES TIMATEO	16.3285	8.0942	3.7053	2.0436	1.7000 1	1-4250	0.0 1.6856	0-0 1-2423	1.0600 1	1121
1972 REPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8200 1	0.0	0.0	0-4	0.0	0.0
FSTIMATED	17-3570	8.6041	3.93BT	2.1936	1.8178	1.5148	1.7918	1.3206	1.1201	1.063
973 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	v.0	2.0000 08		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FSTIMATEO	19.7113	9.1711	4.4729	2-4911	2.0644	1.7203	2.0348	1-499T	1.2T20	1.207
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	21.2254	10.5217	4.8165	2.6824	2.2230	1.8524	2.1911	1-0149	1.3691	1.299
975				210021	211220			100211	**3071	,,
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2-6174 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEO	24-6008	12.1949	5.5825	3.1090	2.3765	2.1470	2.5396	1.8717	1.5876	1.506
976										
REPORTED ESTIMATEO	0.0 45.8335	0.0 12. 80 60	0.0 5.8622	0.0 3.2648	0.0 2.7056	0.0 2.2546	2.6668	0. <i>0</i> 1.9655	0.0 1.66T1	0.0 1.582
1977 REPORTED	U.O	0.0	0.4	u.o	0.0		0.0	0.0		
ESTIMATEO	21.9002	13.8305	6.3312	3.5260	2.9220	0.0 2.4349	2.8802	2-122	0.0 1.6005	0.0 1.708
	1972	1 97 3	1974	1975	1976					
1973										
REPURTEO ESTIMATEO 1974	1.1370 I 1.1356	1123								
REPURTED	0.0	1.0740 1	[12]							
ESTIMATED 975	1.2229	1.0708								
REPURTED ESTIMATED 976	0.0 1.41T3	0.0 1.2481	1.1560 1 1.1590	1123						
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.4884	1.3156 1	0.0 1.21T1	1.0640 l 1.0561	(12)					
977 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0800 1	1 121				
ESTIMATED	1.6074	1.4154	1.3145	1.1341	1.0800	1123				
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
VALUE	0.810	1.634	3.5TO	6.410	7.735	9.283	7-848	10.648	12.553	13.228
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977				
VALUE	14.061	15.968	17.195	19.929	20.928	22.602				

A. FIRST 6 MONTHS OF 1969 OVER THE CURRESPONDING PERIOD OF 1966.
b. MORE THAN THO TIMES THAT OF 1965.
c. DERIVEO FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 10.1 PERCEMT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/64:	Nan-Fang jih-pao (Southern Daily), 28 December 1964.
1949/69:	BBC, FE/W540/A/12, 8 October 1969.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65:	BBC, FE/W365/A/1, 11 May 1966.
1965/66:	News From Chinese Provincial Radio Stations, No. 189,
	5 January 1967, p. 41.
1965/71:	JPRS, No. 63,200, 15 October 1974, p. 32.
1965/72:	FBIS, 25 June 1973, D2,
1965/73:	FBIS, 31 January 1974, D8.
1965/75:	FBIS, 2 June 1976, H7.
1966/69:	FBIS, 22 August 1969, D1.
1968/69:	FBIS, 6 January 1970, D3.
1968/76:	FBIS, 28 December 1977, H4.
1969/70:	FBIS, 13 October 1970, D4.
1970/71:	FBIS, 10 January 1972, D5.
1972/73:	FBIS, 31 January 1974, D8.
1973/74:	Chien-ch'ih tang-ti chi-pen lu-hsien tsai tou-cheng chung
	hsueh ta-ching (Uphold the Basic Party Line in the Move-
	ment to Learn from Taching), Peking, 1977, p. 67.
1973/76:	Ibid.
1974/75:	Ibid.
1975/76:	Ibid.
1976/77:	FBIS, 17 January 1978, H3.

TABLE A-16

KWEICHOW PROVINCE

		en will and		KILCICI	OW PROVIN	CE.				
	1949	952	1957	1965	1966				-	·
1952		,,,	1727	1703	1700	1973	1974	1975	1976	
REPORTED	1.5824 1									
ESTIMATED	1.5824									
1957	113027									
REPORTED	0.0	2.2491 1								
ESTIMATED	3.5590	2-2491								
965	3.5340	2.2441								
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
FSTIMATEO	10-2857	6.5001	2.8901							
1966		6.5001	2.8401							
KEPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 2040 1						
ESTEMATEO	13.3303	8-4241	3.7455	1.2940 1	101					
973		4.4241	3.1433	1.2400						
KEPORTEO	U. O	0.0	0.0	2.0000 1						
LSTIMATED	24.5714	13.0001	5.7802	2.0000	0.0					
974		23.0001	3.1802	2.0000	1.5432					
REPORTED	20.000D E	0.0	0.0	U_0						
ESTEMATED	20.0000	12.6390	5.6196	1.9444	0.0	0.0				
975			340170	1.7444	1.5003	0.9122				
KEPORTEG	u. 0	0.0	0.0	2.8000 1	0.0					
ESTIMATED	∠8.8000	18.2002	8.0922	2.8000	2-1605	0.0	1.4400 1	1121		
1976		10.1002	014457	2.8000	2+1003	1.4000	1-4400			
#EPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATED	45.2720	15.9707	7.1009	2.4574	1.8958	1.2285	0.0	0-8775 1	A(12)	
977				4.4774	110770	1.2265	1-2036	0-8775		
REPORTED	U.Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		• •			
ESTIMATEO	37.7280	23.8423	4006-01	0500 0800+L	4.8302	0.0 1.8340	0.0	1.3100 1	0.0	
				3.0000	2.8302	1.0340	1-8864	1.3100	1.4929	
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1966	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977
VALUE	0.158	0.250	0.562	1.624	2.105	3.248	3.158	4.548	3.991	5.958

A. DERIVED FROM THE STATEMENT THAT THE 1977 PLAN WAS FULFILLED SO DAYS AMEAD OF SCHEOULE AND THAT OUTPUT INCREASED B.2 PERCENT AND 23.3 FERCENT GVER THAT OF 1975 AND 1976, RESPECTIVELY.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 267.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W378/A/1, 10 August 1971.
1965/73:	FBIS, 10 January 1974, E2.
1965/75:	CKHW, Hong Kong, 31 March 1976.
1974/75:	Ibid.
1975/76:	China News Service, Kweiyang, 19 November 1977.
1975/77:	FBIS, 2 March 1978, J1.

TABLE A-17 LIAONING PROVINCE

	1949	1952	1957	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
952										
REPORTED ESTIMATED	3.4187 î 3.4187									
957	3.4101									
KEPORTED	U. U	2.5890 I								
ESTEMATEL	8.8510	2.5890								
965										
REPORTED	4.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEO	16.5991	4.8554	1.8754							
966										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATEU	18.9577	5.5453	2.1419	1.1421						
968 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ESTIMATEN	19.2537	4-1493	1.6104	J.4587	0.7519					
969		44.16.2	110104	0.470,	011317					
KEPORTED	U.U	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4800 1	ACLLI			
ESTIMATE	21.0955	6.1706	2.3834	1.2709	1-1120	1.4800				
970										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1-2170 1	(12)		
ESTIMATEU	∠5.6733	7.5097	2.9006	1.5407	1.3542	1.8015	1.2170			
971										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0 3.2556	0.0 1.736U	1.5200 1	0.0 2.0216	0.0	1-1224 1	1121	
ESTIMATED 972	28.8157	4.4288	3.2770	1./300	1.5200	2.0210	1.3660	1+1124		
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8400 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0580 1	(11)
EST1MATEO	30.4870	8.9177	3.4445	1.8367	1.6082	2.1389	1-4452	1.1875	1.0580	
973										
REPORTED	33.0000 18	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	D. 0	0.0	1.0960 1 (12
ESTIMATEU	33.3531	9.7561	3.7683	2.0093	1.7593	2.3400	1.5611	1.2991	1-1575	1.0940
974										4. 4.
REPORTED	36.0000 1	0.0	0.0	2.1600 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1.1732
ESTIMATEU 975	25.7687	10.4627	4.0412	2.1549	1.5468	2.5094	1.6954	1.3932	1.2413	1.4/32
REPORTED	38.5000 1	0.0	0.0	2.3000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.O	0.0
	38.3387	11.2144	4.3316	2.3097	2.0223	2.6897	1.6174	1.4933	1.3305	1.2575
CSTARTED	30.330	1111111	413310	213077	217223	2.00	••••			
	1973	1974								
974 REPORTED	1 0410 1	(12)								
ESTIMATED	1.0630 1	1127								
975	1.0724									
REPORTED	0.0	0.0								
ESTEMATED	1.1495	1.0718								
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
VALUE	1.139	3.893	10.078	18.900	41.580	16.230	24.029	294232	32.810	34.713
74646				.41740	-11200					
	1973	1974	1975							

A. FIRST 11 MONTHS OF 1969 OVER ALL OF 1968. S. MORE THAN 33 TIMES THAT OF 1949.

1949/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1967, table I.
1949/73:	FBIS, 24 September 1974, E1.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 57.
1949/75:	FBIS, 6 October 1976, L6.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1967, table I.
1965/72:	FBIS, 19 July 1973, G2.
1965/74:	BBC, FE/W809/A/15, 15 January 1975.
1965/75:	FBIS, 6 October 1976, L6.
1966/71:	FBIS, 19 July 1971, G5.
1968/69:	FBIS, 21 January 1970, G1.
1969/70:	FBIS, 18 January 1971, G2.
1970/71:	FBIS, 19 July 1972, G5.
1971/72:	FBIS, 5 January 1973, G6.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B9.
1973/74:	BBC, FE/W809/A/15, 15 January 1975.

TABLE A-18 NINGSIA AUTONOMOUS REGION

	1949	1952	1957	1963	1965	1966	1972	1973	1974				
1952													
REPORTED	1.8244 1												
ESTIMATEO	1.8244												
1957													
REPORTED	U.Q	2:5481 1	Į.										
ESTIMATEO	4.6488	2.5481											
1963													
REPURTED	12.0000 1	0.0	0.0										
ESTIMATED	12.0000	6.5/75	2.5813										
1965													
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0									
ESTIMATEO	15.4378	8.4618	3.3208	1.2865									
1966													
REPORTEO	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATEO	17.3675	9.5196	3.1359	1-4475	1-1250								
1972													
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0000 1	0.0							
ESTIMATED	61.7511	33.8474	13.2834	5.1459	4-9000	3.5550							
1973													
REPURTED	U.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0000 1A	1.1250 1	(11)					
ESTIMATED	69.4700	38.0/83	14.9438	5.7894	4.5000	4.0000	1.1250						
1974													
REPURTED	74.0000 1	0.0	U.O	0.0	4-6000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ESTIMATED	72.4915	39.7345	15.5938	0.0413	4.6957	4.1740	1.1739	1.04 35					
1975							_						
REPORTED	84.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6700 IB	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0				
ESTIMATED	85.7419	47.0006	18.4454	7.1457	5.5544	4.9373	1-3686	1.2343	1.1829				
	1949	1952	1957	1963	1965	1966	1972	1973	1974	1975			
VALUE	04005	0.009	0.023	0. 05 9	0.076	0.086	0.396	0.344	0.359	0.42			

A. MORE THAN FOUR TIMES THAT OF 1966.

d. REPORTEO TO MAYE INCREASED 5.67 TIMES OVER THAT 3F 1965, BUT ONLY FITS WITH OTHER OATA IF IT WAS 5.67 TIMES THAT OF 1965.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1969, table I.
1949/63:	CKHW, 14 April 1964.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 220.
1949/75:	FBIS, 26 January 1976, M2.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1969, table I.
1965/72:	FBIS, 19 September 1973, H6.
1965/74:	NCNA-Yinchwan, 18 May 1975.
1965/75:	FBIS, 26 January 1976, M2.
1966/73:	FBIS, 18 September 1974, M1.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B9.

TABLE A-19
PEKING MUNICIPALITY

				LKIN	J MUNICIPALI	17				
appear and constitution of the second	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
952				1,,,,,	1703	1700	1900	1707	1970	19/1
REPORTED ESTIMATED	4.2059 1									
957	******									
REPORTED	U.O	3.2266 1								
ES TIMATED	13.5708	3.2266								
964 REPURTED	v. u	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEL	30.5755	7.2697	2.2530							
965										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1840 1	(6)					
ESTIMATED 366	10-1013	8.6073	2-6676	1-18-0						
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ESTIMATEO	41.7524	10.3979	3.2225	1.4303	1.2480					
968										
KEPURTEO	41.0000 1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATED	41.0000	9-7482	3.0212	1.3449	1.1326	0.9375				
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6200 1	1.3400 1	0.0			
ESTIMATED		13.9331	4.3182	1.9100	1.6188	1.3400	1.4293			
970										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0000 0	0.0	0.0	1.3330	1 (12)	
ESTIMATEU 971	70.0561	18.5587	5.7518	2.5529	2.1562	1.7849	1.9038	1.3120		
REPURTED	93-0000 1	0.0	0.0	<i>u</i> . U	2.6000 1	0.0	J. 0	مــه	1.2000	4113
ESTIMATED	91.5958	22.2535	6.8969	3.0611	2.5854	2.1402	2.2828	1.5972	1.1991	
972										
REPORTED	J.U	3.0	0.0	0.0	2-8000 1	0.0	4.0	4-0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEU 273	101.3036	24.1004	7.4693	3.315∠	2. 8000	2.3176	2.4723	1.7297	1.2986	1.083
REPURTED	110.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	169.2998	25.9873	8.0541	3.5748	3.0192	2.4993	4.0658	1.0051	1.4003	1.1676
774										
LSTIMATED	120.0000 1	0.0 26.5313	8.8425	U.U 3.9247	0.0 3.3148	0.0 2.7440	û.0 2.926 \$	0.0 2.0477	0.0 1.5375	0.0
975	1101000	2003313	8.0427	317241	3.3140	241770	2.7204	2,2711	1.7314	1.2821
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5000 1	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.4
ESTIMATEO	126.7047	33.1255	9.3366	4-1440	3.5000	2.8973	3.0904	2.1621	1.6233	1.3537
176 K eporte d	u. u	٥. ٥	0.0	0.0	3.6000 1	0.0		0.0		
LSTIMATEO		30.9862	9.6034	4.204	3.6000	2.9801	0.0 3.1787	2.2239	0.0 1.6696	0.8 1.3924
977								211237		
KEPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7000 1	0.0	0.0	0_0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	133.9450	31.8469	9.8701	4.5808	3.7000	3.0628	3.2670	2.2857	1.7160	1-9311
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976					
773 REPORTEO	0.0									
ESTIMATED	1.0783									
REPORTED	0.0	3_0								
ESTIMATED	1.1839	1.0979								
75			6.6							
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.2500	J.U 1.1592	0.0							
76	1.2500	1.1372	1.0227							
REPURTED	0.0	J_U	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATEO	1.2057	1.1924	1.0866	1.0286						
777										
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 1.3∠14	J. U 1.2255	0.0 1.1162	0.0 1.0571	0.0 1.0278					
Collinated		112277	111101	1.07/1	110213					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
VALUE	U.155	0.651	4.100	4.731	5.602	6.767	6.345	9.068	12.079	14.483
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977				
VALUE	15.685	10.914	18.569	17.037	20.167	20.727				

1 949/52 :	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/68:	World Atlas, 1972.
1949/71:	Peking Review, No. 41, 13 October 1972, p. 14.
1949/73:	FBIS, 4 October 1974, K2.
1949/74:	BBC, FE/W822/A/12, 16 April 1975.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65:	JPRS, No. 32,205, 30 September 1965, p. 12.
1965/69:	FBIS, 31 December 1969, Fl.
1965/70:	FBIS, 6 January 1971, Fl.
1965/71:	Peking Review, No. 41, 13 October 1972, p. 14.
1965/72:	FBIS, 19 March 1973, B3.
1965/73:	FBIS, 3 January 1974, B16.
1965/75:	FBIS, 1 June 1967, K4.
1965/76:	FBIS, 11 May 1977, E22.
1965/77:	FBIS, 15 December 1977, Kl.
1966/69:	FBIS, 31 December 1969, Fl.
1969/70:	FBIS, 6 January 1971, Fl.
1970/71:	FBIS, 22 December 1971, Fl.

TABLE A-20 SHANGHAI MUNICIPALITY

	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
1952										
REPORTED	1.9547 1									
ESTIMATED	1.9547									
REPORTED	0.0	1.9882 1								
ESTIMATEO	3.8863	1.9882								
964	3.5503	1.7002								
REPURTED	0-0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATED	6.5827	3.3676	1.6938							
1965	0.3621	3.3010	1.0730							
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATED	8.2358	4.2134	2.1192	1-2511						
1966	012330	712177	2.6172	142311						
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1500 1	70 1				
ESTIMATED	9-4712	4.8454	2.4371	1.4388	1.1500	477				
1968	,,,,,,			144300	1.1700					
REPURTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATEO	10.0694	5.1514	2.5910	1.5297	1-2226	1.0632				
1969	1010074	2.12.4	6.57140	413271	14 2 2 2 2 0	1.0032				
KEPURTEO	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1500 1	(12)		
ESTIMATEO	11.5798	5.9241	2.9796	1.7591	1.4060	1.2226	1-1500	1127		
1970		74741	2.7174	**1276			1 = 1 > 0 0			
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1-6000 1	0.0	0.0	1.1480 1	4121	
ESTIMATED	13.2936	6.8009	3.4206	2.0195	1.6141	1.4036	1.3202	1.1480	1227	
1971	1301730	0.1007	314200	2.0177	140141	1.4030	1.3202	1.1400		
REPORTED	14.5000 I	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7800 1	0.0	0.0	9.0	1.0890	1 1 1 2 1
ESTIMATEO	14-6045	7.4715	3.7579	2.2146	1.7733	1.5420	1.4504	1-2612	1.0986	
1972			341317			147456	204304	221011	110,00	
REPORTED	16.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1-9090 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0660 1 (B
ESTIMATED	15.7594	8.0623	4-0551	2-3941	1-9135	1.6639	1.5651	1.3609	1-1455	1.0791
1973	1301374	0.0013	410331	243774	10.137	4 4 4 4 4 4 4	14 7071	1.3007	1.1433	1.0171
PEPURTEO	17-0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2-0006 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEO	17.0101	8.7021	4.3769	2.5841	2.0654	1.7960	1.0893	1.4689	1.2796	1.1647
1974	1170401	0.1022	713107	213047	240074	4. 1400	2.0073	144007	1.2170	T. 1041
REPORTED	18.0000 1	0.0	0.0	4.738T 1A	2.2000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	18.0281	9.2229	4.6388	2.7387	2.1890	1.9035	1-7904	1.6569	1.3561	1.2344
1975	1010101	706267	440360	2.1381	2 * 10 70	1.7027	101704	140307	1.3301	1.2377
REPURTED	19.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3243 18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	19-0976	9.7701	4.9140	2.9012	2.3188	2.0164	1.8966	1.6494	1-4366	1.3076
1976	17-4716	4.7101	4.7140	2.7012	2.3100	2.0164	1.0700	1.0412	1.4300	1.3070
REPURTED	19.3000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEO	19.3134	9.8805	4.9696	2.9340	2-3450	2.0392	1-9180	1.6678	1-4528	1.3224
1977	. 7. 21.34	7.0003	4.7030	2.7370	243430	240372	107100	1.0010	114720	1.3224
KEPURTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
E STIMATEO	40.9743	10.7302	5.3969	3.1863	2.5467	2.2145	2.0830	1.8113	1.5778	1.9362
L 311. M. LO	2017/43	1017302	303707	311103	213401	2022.45	140132	200113	1.77.10	112302
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976					
1973										
REPORTED	1.0800 1	[12]								
ESTIMATED	1.0794									
1974										
PEPORTEG	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATEO	1.1440	1.0598								
1975										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	1.0630 1	(12)						
ESTIMATEO	1.2116	1.1227	1.0593							
1976										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0120 1 (12)					
ESTIMATEO	1-2255	1.1354	1.0713	1.0113						
1977										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0860 1	(12)				
ESTIMATED	1.3309	1.2331	1.1634	1.0983	1-0860					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
YALUE	2.934	5.735	11.402	19.313	44.163	27.787	29.542	38.074	39.002	42.848
	1070	1020	109 /	1076	1074	1000				
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977				
VALUE	40-236	49.905	52.892	56.030	56.663	61.536				

A. DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 10.6 PERCENT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/71:	China Reconstructs, July 1972, p. 6.
1949/72:	China's Foreign Trade, No. 1, 1974, p. 10.
1949/73:	FBIS, 9 October 1974, G5.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 104.
1949/75:	FBIS, 5 October 1976, G6.
1949/76:	FBIS, 8 December 1977, E5.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/74:	FBIS, 2 May 1975, G8.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/24, 19 October 1966.
1965/70:	FBIS, 19 January 1971, Cl.
1965/71:	China Reconstructs, July 1972, p. 6.
1965/72:	FBIS, 27 August 1973, C7.
1965/73:	FBIS, 25 April 1974, G3.
1965/74:	Great Changes, p. 104.
1965/75:	FBIS, 5 October 1976, G6.
1968/69:	FBIS, 7 January 1970, C13.
1969/70:	FBIS, 8 December 1977, E7.
1970/71:	BBC, FE/W661/A/14, 23 February 1972.
1971/72:	FBIS, 5 October 1972, C5:
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, C4.
1974/75:	A traveler's report.
1975/76:	Ibid.
1976/77:	FBIS, 23 January 1977, G4.

		T	ABLE A-21		
	SH	AN	ISI PROVINCE		
	1949		1952	1957	
1952					
REPORTED	2.9495	1			
ESTIMATED	2.9495				
1957					
REPORTED	0.0		2.8491 1		
ESTIMATEU	8.4034		2.8491		
1974					
REPORTED	32.0000		0.0	0.0	
ESTIMATED			10.8493	3.8080	
	1949		1952	1957	1974
VALUE	J. 196		0.577	1.645	6.266

Sources for Table on Facing Page

1949/52: Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.

1949/74: Great Changes, p. 37.

1952/57: Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976 table I.

TABLE A-22

SHANTUNG PROVINCE												
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974		
1952										.,,,		
REPORTED	2.2127	i										
ESTIMATED	2-2127	•										
957												
REPORTED	0.0	1.9455 1										
ESTIMATED	4.3048	1.9455										
965	4.3048	4.7733										
	0.4											
REPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0									
ESTINATED	7.3015	3.2998	1.6961									
1969												
REPORTED	10.8700	0.0	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATED	10.8700	4.9126	2.5251	1.4887								
1970												
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0000 0	1-3670 1	£ 1 1 1						
ESTIMATEO	14.8593	6.7155	3.4518	2.0351	1.3470							
1971	•		344310	110271	1.3010							
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3200 1	0.0	1 1400						
ESTIMATEO	16.9396	7-6556	3.9350			1.1400	1121					
1972	1041370	1.0330	3.7350	2.3200	1.5584	1-1400						
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5400 1	0.0	0.0	0.0					
ESTIMATEO	18.5459	6.3816	4.3082	2.5400	1.7062	1.2481	1.0948					
1973												
REPORTED	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	1-7612 14	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ESTIMATEO	19.1442	8.6520	4.4472	2.6219	1.7612	1.2884	1.1301	1.0323				
1974												
REPORTEO	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
ESTIMATEO	24.0951	10.8895	5.5973	3.4000	2.2167	1.6216	1.4224	1.2992				
1975				312000	******	140210	1.4554	1.6774	1.2586			
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4000 1	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATEO	24.7547	11.1876	5.7505	3.4903			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
976	24.1341	71-1410	3.1303	3.3903	2.2713	1.6659	1.4614	1.3348	1-2931	1.027		
REPORTEO	۵.0	3.0										
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	۵.۵	0.0	ند.0		
ESTIMATED	29.3250	13.2530	6.8122	4.0163	2.6978	1.9735	1.7312	1.5812	1.5318	1.217		
1977												
REPORTED	u.o	0.0	0.0	4.9000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ESTIMATEO	35.8795	10.2153	6.3348	4.9140	3.3008	2-4146	2.1181	1.9346	1.8742	1.489		
								117300		11701		
	1975	1976										
976												
REPORTED	1.1080 1	(12)										
ESTIMATEO	1.1846											
977	1.1840											
KEPURTED	0.0	1-2270 L	4111									
ESTIMATEO	1.4494	1.2235										
	1949	1952	1957	1965	1989	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974		
*ALUE	U. 646	1.871	3.640	6.174	9.191	12.565	14.324	15.682				
				4111	-4 4 7 4		. 7. 327	194005	16.188	20.374		
	1975	1976	1977									

A. DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 15.2 PERCENT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/69:	BBC, FE/W542/A7, 22 October 1969.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/70:	FBIS, 9 April 1971, C2.
1965/71:	FBIS, 4 May 1972, C5.
1965/72:	FBIS, 3 July 1973, C8.
1965/74:	FBIS, 25 March 1976, G6.
1965/75:	FBIS, 20 May 1976, G15.
1965/77:	Tsinan Provincial Service 1 December 1977.
1969/70:	FBIS, 6 January 1971, C6.
1969/73:	FBIS, 11 May 1977, E12.
1970/71:	FBIS, 18 January 1972, C1.
1975/76:	FBIS, 18 February 1977, G7.
1976/77:	Tsinan Provincial Service, 7 December 1977.

TABLE A-23 SHENSI PROVINCE

	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1970	197 1	1972	1973
1952 REPORTED	1-2492 1									
ESTIMATED	1.2492									
1957		2 2112 1								
REPORTEG Estimated	0.0 4.1411	3.3150 l 3.3150								
1964	721711	3.3270								
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATED	7.6131	6.0944	1.8384							
965										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0 8.4712	0.0	1.3900 I 1.3900	111)					
ESTIMATED 966	10.5822	0.4/12	2.5554	1.3700						
KEPDATED	D-0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4000 1 6	61				
ESTIMATEO		12.1050	3-6516	1.9863	1.4290					
970										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.4000 1				
ESTIMATEU	21.6080	17.2975	5.2179	2.6383	2.0419	1.4290				
1971 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	U_0	2.5000 1	0.0	1.1120 1	4123		
ESTIMATED		20.0443	6.0465	3.2890	2.3662	1.6559	1.1588			
972										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1380 1	[7]	
ESTIMATEO	28.1043	22.4978	6.7867	3.6916	2.6558	1.4586	1.3006	1.1224		
973 REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1290 1	4101
ESTIMATEO		25.0520	7.5572	4.1107	2.9573	2.0696	1.4483	1.2498	1.1135	1207
974	3102770	23.0320		4	20.7.5					
REPORTED	23.6000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	D. D	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	23.8000	19.0522	5.7473	3.1262	2.2491	1.5739	1.1014	0.9505	0.5468	0.7605
1975										0.0
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4556 14	0.0	0.0 1.2193	0.0 1.0522	0.0 0.9375	0.8419
ESTIMATED	20.3400	21-0908	6.3622	3.4607	2.4697	1.1423	1.2173	1.0322	0.7375	0.0417
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED		23.1564	6.9853	3.7996	2.7336	1.9130	1.3367	1.1553	1.0293	0.9243
1977										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.O	0.0	0-0	0.0	0.0	1.0740 1 1.0593
ESTIMATED	33.1503	26.5373	8.0052	4.3344	3.1327	2.1923	1-5342	1.3239	1.1795	120513
	1974	1975	1976							
1975										
REPORTED ESTIMATED 1976	1.1070 l 1.1070	(12)								
REPORTED	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATED	1-2154	1.0979								
1977										
REPORTED	0.0	1.2410 1C		{12}						
ESTIMATED	1.3929	1.2562	1.1460							
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1970	1971	1972	1973
	0.2/4	0.342	1.134	2.385	2.498	4.141	5.917	6.857	7.696	6.570
VALUE	0.214									
VALUE	1974	1975	1976	1977						

^{4.} DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF 9.4 PERCENT.
2. THE FIRST TEN MONTHS OVER THE CORRESPONDING PERIOD OF 1973.
1. THE FIRST TEN MONTHS OVER THE CORRESPONDING PERIOD OF 1975.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p 233.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1964/65:	BBC, FE/W346/A/14, 22 December 1965.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/25, 19 October 1966.
1965/70:	Ross Terrill, "The 800,000,000: Report From China," The
	Atlantic Monthly, November 1971, p. 110.
1965/71:	FBIS, 15 September 1972, H3.
1965/75:	BBC, FE/W866/A/9, 25 February 1976.
1966/70:	World Atlas, 1972.
1970/71:	FBIS, 12 January 1972, H8.
1971/72:	FBIS, 15 September 1972, H3.
1972/73:	FBIS, 29 November 1973, H1.
1973/77:	FBIS, 25 November 1977, M1.
1974/75:	FBIS, 31 May 1977, M2.
1975/77:	FBIS, 25 November 1977, M1.
1976/77:	FBIS, 6 February 1978, M9, as corrected.

TABLE A-24 SINKIANG AUTONOMOUS REGION

	1949	1952	1955	1957	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1972
952										
REPORTED	2.0588 2									
ESTIMATED	2.0588									
255										
REPORTEO	0.0	1.7600 2								
#STIMATED 957	3.6235	1.7600								
YS/ REPORTED	υ.0		1 ((4)							
		0.0	1.4481 1							
ESTIMATED	5-2472	2.5487	1.4481							
965 REPORTED	13-0000 1A	0.0	3 6060 60	0.0						
			3.0000 08							
ESTIMATED	13-0000	6.3144	3.5477	2.4775						
966										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2570 1	181				
ESTIMATEN	16.3410	7.9371	4.5097	3.1142	1.2570					
968										
MEPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ES TIMATED	15.2455	7-4050	4.2074	2.9055	1.1727	0.9330				
969										
REPORTED	0.0	D.O	0.0	0.0	1.2900 1	0.0	1.1000 1	(12)		
ESTIMATEU	14.7700	8.1455	4.6281	J. 190J	1.2900	1.0263	1.1000			
970										
REPORTED	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	1.3250 I	0.0	0.0	0.0		
ESTIMATED	17.2250	8.3665	4.7537	3.2827	1.3250	1.0541	1.1298	1.0271		
772										
REPORTED	21.0000 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ø. 9	0.0	
ESTIMATED	21.0000	10.2001	5.7955	♦ 002Z	1.6154	1.2851	1.3775	1.2522	1.2192	
974										
REPORTED	21.0000 10	0.0	5.0000 OE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	21.0000	10.2001	5.7955	4.0022	1.6154	1-2851	1.3775	1-2522	1.2192	1.000
976										
REPORTED	21.0000 DF	0.0	6.0000 16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	21.7409	10.5600	6.0000	4.1434	1.6724	1.3305	1.4241	1.2964	1.2622	1.03
977			*******							••••
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATED	24-2890	11.7976	6.7032	4.6290	1.8684	1.4864	1.5932	1.4484	1.4101	1 - 156
2 311114125	2412070	21117710	00.032	110270		20.000		•••••	201002	
	1974	1976								
976										
REPORTED	0.0									
ESTIMATEO	1.0353									
977										
REPORTED	0.0	1.1172 1	1121							
ESTIMATED	1.1566	1.1172								
ESHIMATED	1.1700	1.1112								
	10.0							10.0		
	1949	1952	1955	1957	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1972
	0.0	6 143	0.331	4 466	1 152	1 449	1.351	1.486	1.526	1.86
VALUE	v. 089	0-182	0.321	0.465	1.152	1.448	1.371	1 . 750	1.760	1- 85
	1974	1976	1977							
		1 437	2 152							
VALUE	1.861	1.927	2.152							

A. MORE THAN 13 TIMES THAT OF 1949.

6. MURE THAN 3 TIMES THAT OF 1955.

C. NEARLY 21 TIMES THAT OF 1949.

0. MORE THAN 21 TIMES THAT OF 1949.

E. NEARLY 5 TIMES THAT OF 1959.

3. MURE THAN 21 TIMES THAT OF 1949.

6. MURE THAN 6 TIMES THAT OF 1949.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/65:	<i>JMJP</i> , 8 October 1965.
1949/72:	FBIS, 21 September 1973, H1.
1949/74:	Hung-ch'i, No. 10, 1975, p. 23.
1949/76:	JMJP, 8 October 1977.
1952/55:	Derived by John Philip Emerson.
1955/57:	Ibid.
1955/65:	<i>JMJP</i> , 8 October 1965.
1955/74:	Hung-ch'i, No. 10, 1975, p. 23.
1955/76:	FBIS, 1 February 1977, M1.
1965/66:	FBIS, 28 September 1966, DDD9.
1965/69:	FBIS, 12 January 1970, H4.
1965/70:	FBIS, 18 May 1971, H2.
1968/69:	FBIS, 12 January 1970, H4.
1976/77:	FBIS, 7 February 1978, M4.

TABLE A-25 SZECHWAN PROVINCE

	1949	1952	1957	1965	197 [1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
952										
REPORTED	2.1987 1									
ESTIMATED	2.1987									
957										
REPORTEO	0.0	2.9551 1								
ESTIMATED	4.4974	2.9551								
1965										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATED	10.5116	4.7808	1.6178							
1971										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0						
COTTANTEO		7.8815	2.6672	1.0486						
1972		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.00,2	110100						
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0480 1	451				
ESTIMATED		B.2601	2.7952	1.7278	1.0480	127				
1973	18.1015	D. 2001	2 . 1732	11/2/0	140400					
REPORTED	20.0000 IA	0.0	0.0	1.8300 1	0.0	1.0800 1	(1.21			
						1.0800	1121			
ESTIMATEO	17.0177	8.9209	3.0188	1.8560	1-1318	1.0000				
REPORTED	21.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
ESTIMATEO	21.0135	9.5572	3.2342	1.9991	1.2124	1.1570	1.0713			
1975										
REPORTED	26.0000 1	0.0	0.0	2.5700 1	0.0	V.0	0.0	1.2600 1	(5)	
ESTIMATED	26.4941	12.0499	4.0777	2.5205	1.5288	1.4548	1.3507	1.2608		
1976										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ESTIMATED	21.5737	9.8120	3.320%	2.0524	1-2449	1.1879	1.0999	1.0247	0.8143	
1977										
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1400 38	1-4000 1 110
ESTIMATED	30.2032	13.7369	4.6485	2.8T33	1-7429	1.6630	1.5398	1-4373	1.1400	1.4000
	19 49	1952	1957	1965	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
VALUE	0.659	1.449	4.283	6.479	11-424	11.972	12.930	13.852	17.465	14.221
	10.77									
	1977									
VALUE	19.910									

A. MORE THAN 20 TIMES THAT OF 1949.
D. ESTIMATED BY REGRESSING THE LOGARITMS OF THE UVIO FOR 1971-1975 AGAINST TIME

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/73:	JPRS, No. 64,466, 2 April 1975, p. 10.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 257.
1949/75:	FBIS, 31 March 1976, J1.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/73:	FBIS, 19 August 1974, J2.
1965/75:	FBIS, 31 March 1976, J1.
1971/72:	FBIS, 19 October 1972, E2.
1972/73:	China Trade Report, March 1974, p. 13.
1974/75:	FBIS, 16 June 1975, J1.
1976/77:	FBIS, 2 December 1977, J5.

TABLE A-26
TIBET AUTONOMOUS REGION

	1957	1958	1965	1967	1968	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
1958										
REPORTED	1.1000	A(12)								
ESTINATED	1.1000									
965										
KEPURTEU	0.0	0.0								
ESTIMATED	2.8763	2.6166								
1967										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0							
ESTIMATEO	2.7793	2.5267	0.9656							
968										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
ESTIMATED	4.0873	3.7157	1.4200	1.4700						
971										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	U-U	0.0					
ESTIMATED	5.5350	5.0318	1.9230	1.9915	1.3542					
972										
REPURTED	0.0	U.O	2.5000 1	ŭ.U	0.0	1.2300 1	(12)			
ESTIMATED	9.8081	b. [# 9]	2.3453	2.4595	1.6657	1.2300				
1973										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	5.8000 I	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1390 1	(12)		
estimated	7.9745	7.2496	4.7706	2.0092	1.9511	1.4407	1.1713			
974										
REPURTED	Q.U	9.0000 1	3.5000 L	0. u	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2200 1	(12)	
ESTIMATEO	9.9000	4.0000	3.4395	3.5020	4.4461	1.7880	1.4542	1.2415		
1975										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	O. U	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ESTIMATED	12.∪990	10.9991	4.20.5	7.3032	2.9002	2.1859	1.7772	1.5172	1.2221	
1976										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	4,3000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0170 1 (1)
ESTIMATED	12.3047	11.1861	4-2750	4-4272	3.0105	2.2231	1.8074	1.5430	1.2429	1.0170
1977										
REPURTED	0.0	0.0	4.8000 1	5.JJON 1	3-4000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.10
ESTIMATES	13.8967	12.6334	4 - 8 2 8 1	5.0000	3-4000	2.5107	2.0412	1.7426	1.4037	1.1465
	1976									
977										
REPURTED	1.1360 1	(12)								
ESTIMATED	1.1294									
	1957	1958	1965	1967	1968	197 [1972	1973	1974	1975
VALUE										
*****	U.009	0.010	0.026	0.025	0.037	0.050	0.061	0.072	0.089	0.109
	1976	1977								

^{4.} ASSUMED TO HAVE URDEN TO PERCENT, THE AVERAGE AGRUAL RATE OF GROWTH FOR THE YEARS OF 1958-74.

1957/58:	Assumed.
1958/74:	FBIS, 28 August 1975, J3.
1965/72:	FBIS, 29 August 1973, E3.
1965/73:	FBIS, 24 June 1974, J4.
1965/74:	FBIS, 28 August 1975, J3.
1965/76:	BBC, FE/W952/A/10, 26 October 1977.
1967/77:	NCNA, Peking, 15 February 1978.
1965/77:	FBIS, 12 December 1977, J4.
1968/77:	Economic Reporter, No. 1, 1978, p. 9.
1971/72:	FBIS, 2 July 1973, E1.
1972/73:	FBIS, 8 January 1974, B7.
1973/74:	FBIS, 3 January 1975, J6.
1975/76:	BBC, FE/W918/A/9, 2 March 1977.
1976/77:	FBIS, 2 February 1978, J1.

TABLE A-27

TIENTSIN MUNICIPALITY 1949 1952 1957 1972 1965 1969 1970 1971 1973 REPORTED ESTIMATED 1957 2.4777 1 2.4777 REPORTED ESTIMATED 4.0 2.3420 1 5.8028 REPORTED 0.0 0.0 0.0 ESTIMATED 10.2106 REPORTED U.U ESTIMATED 11.4005 0.0 0.0 J.U 1.1165 1969 REPURTED U.U ESTIMATEU 14.5927 1970 REPURTED U.O ESTIMATEU 17.9490 1971 1.2600 1 (12) 1.2600 0.0 5.8896 0.0 U.U 1.4292 1.7700 1 0.0 3.0932 1.2300 1 (11) 0.0 REPORTED 21.0000 1 2.0000 1 1.9963 0.0 0.0 8.2268 0.0 3.5127 0.0 1.7879 1.1000 1 493 ESTIMATED REPURTED 0.0 ESTIMATED 21.6157 v.0 ∠.136€ 0.0 1.9136 0.0 1.2154 0.0 0.0 1.0700 1 (8) 8.8046 KÉPORTEL U.O ESTIMATEU 43.7848 2.3300 l 2.3294 0.0 4.0989 0.0 0.0 1.3251 0.0 9.5996 0.0 2.0863 **U.**0 1.0900 1 (12) 1.1669 A RÉPURTED ESTIMATED 26.0000 1 26.0000 0.0 10.4936 0.0 0.0 1.7617 0.0 1.448a U-D 2-5464 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0931 1.2755 1975 5 REPURTED ∠7.0000 1 ESTEMATEU ∠7.8166 2.T000 1 2.7243 1.0114 1A 0.0 1.5498 1.3647 0.0 1.2751 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.D 1.1695 1974 1975 REPURTED ESTIMATED 0.0 1.0699 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1949 1952 1957 1965 1968 16.031 1.670 9.835 12.097 13.738 14.703 0.674 911ءد 7.684 FALJE 6.884 1974 1975 VALUE 17.524 18.748

A. DERIVED FRUM THE REPURTED ANNUAL RATE OF 10 PERCENT.

1949/52:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/71:	FBIS, 14 February 1972, F9.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 16.
1949/75:	FBIS, 15 October 1975, K4.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1965/70:	China Trade and Economic Newsletter, No. 188,
·	June 1971, p. 2.
1965/71:	FBIS, 14 February 1972, F9.
1965/73:	FBIS, 13 February 1974, K1.
1965/75:	FBIS, 18 May 1975, E5.
1968/69:	Peking Review, No. 1, 2 January 1970, p. 20.
1969/70:	FBIS, 28 December 1970, F4.
1970/71:	FBIS, 28 October 1971, F1.
1971/75:	FBIS, 24 February 1976, K8.
1971/72:	FBIS, 29 September 1972, F6.
1972/73:	FBIS, 7 January 1974, F3.

TABLE A-28 TSINGHAI PROVINCE

					ONAL FROM					
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
952 REPORTED	1 5000 1									
ESTIMATED ESTIMATED	1.5000 l 1.5000									
REPORTED ESTIMATEU	0.0 4.2084	2.8056 1 2.8056								
964 REPORTED	10.0000 1		0.0							
ESTIMATED 1965	10.1582	6.7722	2.4138							
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 12.3828	0.0 8.2552	0.0 2.9424	1.2000 1 (8 }					
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.0 17.17±7	0.0 11.4491	0.0 4.0808	0.0	1.3869 1 1	7)				
968										
REPORTED ESTIMATED	0.0 14.1602	0.G 9.4401	0.0 3.3647	0.0 1.3940	0.0 1.1435	0.0 0.8245				
969		0.0	0.0	0.0	U.Q	V. 0	1.3800 1	***		
REPURTED ESTIMATED	0.0 19-5411	13.0274	4.6433	0.0 1.9237	1.5781	1.1378	1.3800	(0)		
REPORTED	0.0	0_G	g_0	0.0	2.0000 1	0.0	0.0	1.2860 1	1112	
ESTIMATED 1971	25.1298	16.7532	5.9713	2.4738	2.0294	1.4633	1.7747	1.2860		
REPORTED ESTIMATED	32.30 0 1	0.0 21-5334	0.0 7.6752	0.0 3.1797	0.0 2.4085	0.0 1.6808	0.0 2.2811	0.0 1.6529	1.2667 1	1123
1972 REPORTED	U. 0	0.0	0 . C	0.0	3.0000 1A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1110 1 112
ESTIMATED	30.6099	24.4065	8.6992	3.6040	2.9565	2.1317	2.5854	1.8735	1.4568	1.1334
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	G. Q	0.0	0.0	ធ.ព	0.0	0.0
ESTIMATEO 1974	41.5522	27.7015	9.8736	4.0905	3.3556	2.4195	2.9344	2.1264	1.6535	1.2864
REPORTED	46.0000 1		0.0	0.0	3.6000 18	0.0	0.0	۵.0	0.0	0.0
975	45.2834	30.1890	10.7602	4.4578	3.6570	2.6368	3.1979	2.3173	1.8020	1.4020 0.D
REPORTED ESTIMATEO	0.0 49.8118	0.0 33.2018	0.0 11.8363	0.U 4.9034	U.Q 4.0227	0.0 2.9005	0.0 3.5177	Q.0 Z.5491	0.0 1.9822	1.5422
976	4740110	3312010		44,7034	******	207003	3.3.1.	203172	,	102.00
REPORTED	U. Ø	G. G	0.0	6.0000 1C	0.0	G.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ESTEMATEU 1977	60.9494	40.6330	14.4828	6.0000	4.9221	3.5490	4.3043	3.1190	2.4254	1.8870
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 2.1795
ESTIMATEO	70.3966	46.9311	16.7276	0.9100	5.6850	4.0991	4.9714	3.6025	2.8013	2.1173
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976					
973										
REPORTED ESTIMATED 974	1.1350 1 1.1350	(12)								
REPORTED	U. 0	0.0								
ESTAMATED	1.2369	1.0895								
REPORTED	0.0 1.3606	0. U 1.1988	1.1000 1	(12)						
ESTIMATED 976	1.000	1 - 1 700								
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.U 1.6648	0.0	0.0 1.3460	0.0 1.2230						
1977 REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.Q 1.9229	0.0 1.6942	0.0 1.5546	0.0 1.4133	1.1550 1 (12)				
								10/0	g pager as	1071
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1986	1968	1969	1970	1971
VALUE	0.028	0.042	0.117	0.282	0.344	G.47T	0.394	0.543	G.699	0.898
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977				
VALUE	1.018	1.155	1.259	1.385	1.694	1.957				

A. MORE THAN THREE TIMES THAT OF 1965.

d. REPORTED TO BE 2.6 TIMES THAT OF 1965. BUT UNLY FITS WITH DTHER DATA IF IT INCREASED 2.6 TIMES DYER THAT OF 1965.

NEARLY SIX TIMES THAT OF 1964.

Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
CKHW, 11 December 1964.
Great Changes, p. 226.
Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
FBIS, 7 October 1965, DDD7.
BBC, FE/W939/A/9, 27 July 1977.
BBC, FE/W388/A/23, 19 October 1966.
BBC, FE/W626/A/5, 16 June 1971.
FBIS, 7 August 1973, H1.
FBIS, 28 January 1975, M3.
BBC, FE/W533/A/7, 20 August 1969.
FBIS, 7 January 1971, H4.
BBC, FE/W659/A/15, 9 February 1972.
FBIS, 14 May 1972, F1.
FBIS, 21 January 1974, H1.
FBIS, 6 January 1976, M5.
FBIS, 28 December 1977, M2.

Toble A-29

YUNNAN PROVINCE

1.				-						
	1949	1952	1957	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
1952										
KEPURTED	1.8500 I									
ESTIMATED	1.8485									
1937 REPORTED	0.0	3.3063 2								
ESTIMATED	6.1118	3.3063								
1764										
REPORTED	0.0	D. D	0.0							
ESTIMATEO 1965	1.4327	4.0209	1.2161							
REPORTED	U.D	0.0	0.0	1.2500 1 1	111					
ESTIMATED	9.2909	5.0261	1.5202	1.2500						
1966 REPORTED	U.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2540 1 6	g 3				
ESTIMATED	11.6508	6.3027	1.9063	1.5075	1.2540					
1968										
REPORTED	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1.0965	0.0 0.8772	u.U U.6995				
ESTIMATED	8.1500	4.4089	1.3335	1,070>	V. B / / 2	0.0773				
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U.D	0.0			
ESTIMATED	11.8748	6-4239	1.9429	1.5976	1.2781	1.0192	1.4570			
1970				9 _0	1.5600 1	0.0	υ . 0	1.2000 1	A(12)	
REPORTED ESTIMATED	U.O 14.2498	0.0 1.7087	0.0 2.3315	1.9172	1.5337	1.2231	1.7484	1.2000		
1971	1407410									401
REPURTEU	U.9	8.5000 1	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1350 1	CAL
	15.6999	8.4932	2.5686	2.1123	1.6598	1.3475	1.9264	1.3221	1.1016	
1972 REPORTED	16.0000 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0000 06	0.0	2.0740 10	0.0	0.0	1.1100 1 (12)
ESTIMATED	16.9031	9.1441	2-1657	2.2142	1.8193	1.4508	2.0140	1.4234	1.1862	1.0766
973						0.0	0-0	0.0	U- 0	0.0
REPORTED	20.0000 I	0.0 10.6817	0.0 3.2307	₩.U 2.6>86	2.1500 1 2.1252	1.6948	2.4227	1.664	1.3857	1.2577
ESTIMATED	17.1737	10.0017	302301		20.250					
REPORTED	21.0000 1	0.0	U. Q	U- U	U.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.D 1.3376
ESTIMATEO	21.0000	11.3604	3.4360	4.8473	2.2003	1-8025	2.5767	1.7684	1.4737	1.3310
973 REPORTED	22.2000 £	0.0	0.0	u. u	2.2000 I	C.D	0.0	D.0	0.0	0.0
CETTANTED	21.3018	11.5237	3.4854	2.6660	2.2928	1-8284	2.6137	1.7939	1.4949	1-3508
±976				_		6.0	0.0	0.0	0.D	D. 0
REPORTED	U.O 15.9396	0.U 8.6229	U.D 2.6080	D. U 2.1445	U.O 1.7156	1.3681	1.9558	1.3423	1.1186	1.0153
ESTIMATED	17.9390	0.0227	2.0000							
REPORTED	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	U. U	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1.#721
OBTAMITES	23.1125	12.5032	3.7816	3.1096	2.4876	1.9835	2.6359	1.9463	1.6220	1
13	1972	1973	1974	1973	1976					
923 MEPORTEU	1.1400 L	611)								
ESTIMATEO	1.1682	-								
914										
REPORTEO ESTIMATEO	U.O 1.2424	0.0 1.0635								
9/5										
REPORTED	J.0	U.O	0.0							
ESTIMATED	1.2602	1.0788	1.0144							
REPORTED	U.U	0.0	0.0	U. U						
ESTIMATED	0.9430	0.8073	U.759D	D. 7483						
1977			U_D	1.0650 10	1.4500 I	1171				
REPORTED	U.U 1.3673	0.0 1.1705	1.1006	1.0850	1.4500	1127				
ESTIMATEO	1.3013									
		1020	1027	1964	1965	1966	1968	1969	1970	1971
	1949	1932	1937			1.885	1.319	1.922	2.306	2.541
JALUE	0.162	0.299	0.989	1.203	1.503		4.747			
	1972	1973	1974	1973	1976	1977				
#ALUE	2.735	3.195	1.398	3.441	₹.579	3.740				

F. FUR 50 MAJOR ITEMS.

E. NEARLY THU TIMES THAT OF 1965.

C. DERIVED FROM THE REPORTED ANNUAL RATE OF ZO PERCENT.

I HE FIRST ELEVEN RONTHS OF 1917 OVER THE CORRESPONDING PERIOD OF 1915.

1949/52:	Trail T 1 T
	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1949/72:	FBIS, 25 June 1973, El.
1949/73:	JPRS, No. 64,466, 2 April 1975, A3.
1949/74:	Great Changes, p. 271.
1949/75:	A Brief Introduction to Yunnan.
1952/57:	Field, Lardy, Emerson, 1976, table I.
1952/71:	NCNA, 12 April 1972.
1964/65:	FBIS, 11 January 1966, ddd5.
1965/66:	BBC, FE/W388/A/26, 19 October 1966.
1965/70:	FBIS, 9 June 1971, E2.
1965/72:	FBIS, 25 June 1973, E1.
1965/73:	JPRS, No. 64,466, 2 April 1975, p. 3.
1965/75:	A Brief Introduction to Yunnan.
1968/72:	FBIS, 24 July 1973, E1.
1969/ 7 0:	BBC, 6 January 1971, A18.
1970/71:	FBIS, 16 November 1971, E6.
1971/72:	FBIS, 15 January 1973, E6.
1972/73:	JPRS, No. 61,103, 30 January 1974, p. 13.
1975/77:	FBIS, 21 December 1977, J5.
1976/77:	FBIS, 22 February 1978, J5.